arXiv:1605.00375v1 [math.NT] 2 May 2016

Cuspidal divisor class groups of non-split Cartan modular curves

Pierfrancesco Carlucci
Dipartimento di Matematica
Universitá degli Studi di Roma Tor Vergata
Via della Ricerca Scientifica 1, 00133, Rome, Italy
E-mail: pieffecar@libero.it

30 April, 2016

Abstract

I find an explicit description of modular units in terms of Siegel functions for the modular curves $X_{ns}^+(p^k)$ associated to the normalizer of a non-split Cartan subgroup of level p^k where $p \neq 2, 3$ is a prime. The Cuspidal Divisor Class Group $\mathfrak{C}_{ns}^+(p^k)$ on $X_{ns}^+(p^k)$ is explicitly described as a module over the group ring $R = \mathbb{Z}[(\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z})^*/\{\pm 1\}]$. In this paper I give a formula involving generalized Bernoulli numbers $B_{2,\chi}$ for $|\mathfrak{C}_{ns}^+(p^k)|$.

1 Motivation and overview

Let $X_{ns}^+(n)$ be the modular curve associated to the normalizer of a non-split Cartan subgroup of level n. One noteworthy reason for studying these curves is the Serre's uniformity problem over $\mathbb{Q}$ stating that there exists a constant $C > 0$ so that, if E is an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}$ without complex multiplication, then the Galois representation:

$$\rho_{E,p} : \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}, \mathbb{Q}) \to \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$$

attached to the elliptic curve E is onto for all primes $p > C$ (see [16] and [7, pag. 198]). If the Galois representation were not surjective, its image would

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 11G16; Secondary 11B68, 13C20.
Key words and phrases: Siegel Functions, Modular Units, Cuspidal Divisor Class Group, Non-Split Cartan Curves, Generalized Bernoulli Numbers.

be contained in one of the maximal proper subgroups of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$. These subgroups are:

1. A Borel subgroup;
2. The normalizer of a split Cartan subgroup;
3. The normalizer of a non-split Cartan subgroup;
4. A finite list of exceptional subgroups.

Serre himself showed that if $p > 13$ the image of $\rho_{E,p}$ is not contained in an exceptional subgroup. Mazur in [12] and Bilu-Parent-Rebolledo in [2] presented analogous results for Borel subgroups and split Cartan subgroups respectively. The elliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}$ for which the image of the Galois representation is contained in the normalizer of a non-split Cartan subgroup are parametrized by the non-cuspidal rational points of $X_{ns}^+(p)$. Thus the open case of Serre's uniformity problem can be reworded in terms of determining whether there exist $\mathbb{Q}$-rational points on $X_{ns}^+(p)$, that do not arise from elliptic curves with complex multiplication.

This paper focuses on an aspect of the curves $X_{ns}^+(p^k)$ that has never been treated before: their Cuspidal Divisor Class Group $\mathfrak{C}_{ns}^+(p^k)$, a finite subgroup of the Jacobian $J_{ns}^+(p^k)$ whose support is contained in the set of cusps of $X_{ns}^+(p^k)$. Let $\mathfrak{D}_{ns}^+(p^k)$ be the free abelian group generated by the cusps of $X_{ns}^+(p^k)$, let $\mathfrak{D}_{ns}^+(p^k)_0$ be its subgroup consisting of elements of degree 0 and let $\mathfrak{F}_{ns}^+(p^k)$ be the group of divisors of modular units of $X_{ns}^+(p^k)$, i.e. those modular functions on $X_{ns}^+(p^k)$ in the modular function field F_{p^k}, which have no zeros and poles in the upper-half plane. We define:

$$\mathfrak{C}_{ns}^+(p^k) := \mathfrak{D}_{ns}^+(p^k)_0/\mathfrak{F}_{ns}^+(p^k).$$

In [8] Kubert and Lang gave an explicit and complete description of the group of modular units of $X(p^k)$ in terms of Siegel functions $g_a(\tau)$ (see [9] or [18]) with $a \in \frac{1}{p^k}\mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \mathbb{Z}^2$. We will define the set of functions

$$\{G_h^+(\tau)\}_{h\in((\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z})^*/\{\pm 1\})}$$

in terms of classical Siegel functions and we will prove the following result:

Theorem 6.5 *If $p \neq 2, 3$, the group of modular units of the modular curve $X_{ns}^+(p^k)$ consists (modulo constants) of power products:*

$$g(\tau) = \prod_{h\in((\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z})^*/\{\pm 1\})} G_h^{+n_h^+}(\tau)$$

where

$$G_h^+(\tau) = \prod_{t\in((\mathbf{O}_K/p^k\mathbf{O}_K)^*/\{\pm1\}),\pm|t|=h} g_{[t]}(\tau)$$

and $d = \dfrac{12}{\gcd(12,p+1)}$ *divides* $\displaystyle\sum_h n_h^+$.

In [7, Chapter 5] Kubert and Lang studied the Cuspidal Divisor Class Group on the modular curve $X(p^k)$. Since their description utilizes the parametrization of the set of cusps of $X(p^k)$ by the elements of the quotient $C_{ns}(p^k)/\{\pm1\}$, it appears natural to develop and extend their techniques to non-split Cartan modular curves. Kubert and Lang proved the following:

Theorem 4.6 *If* $p \geq 5$ *consider* $R := \mathbb{Z}[C_{ns}(p^k)/\{\pm1\}]$ *and let* R_0 *be the ideal of* R *consisting of elements of degree* 0. *The Cuspidal Divisor Class Group* $\mathfrak{C}_{p^k}$ *on* $X(p^k)$ *is an* $R-$*module, more precisely there exists a Stickelberger element* $\theta \in \mathbb{Q}[C_{ns}(p^k)/\{\pm1\}]$ *such that, under the identification of the group* $C_{ns}(p^k)/\{\pm1\}$ *with the set of cusps at level* p^k, *the ideal* $R\cap R\theta$ *corresponds to the group of divisors of units in the modular function field* F_{p^k} *and:*

$$\mathfrak{C}_{p^k} \cong R_0/R\cap R\theta.$$

In this theorem the authors exhibited an isomorphism reminding to a classical result in cyclotomic fields theory. Let J be a fractional ideal of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)$ and $G =\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)/\mathbb{Q}) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^*$. Consider $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ acting on the ideals and ideal classes in the natural way: if $x = \sum_\sigma x_\sigma\sigma$ then $J^x := \prod_\sigma (J^\sigma)^{x_\sigma}$. We have the following result:

Stickelberger's Theorem [20, pag. 333] *Define the Stickelberger element:*

$$\theta = \sum_{a \bmod m,(a,m)=1} \left\langle \frac{a}{m}\right\rangle \sigma_a^{-1} \in \mathbb{Q}[G].$$

The Stickelberger ideal $\mathbb{Z}[G]\cap\theta\mathbb{Z}[G]$ *annihilates the ideal class group of* $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)$.

Along these lines, the main result can be summarized as follows:

Main Theorem 7.1 *Consider* $p \geq 5$, $H := (\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z})^*/\{\pm1\}$ *and* w *a generator of* H. *There exists a Stickelberger element*

$$\theta := \frac{p^k}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}p^{k-1}} \sum_{\pm|s|=w^i,s\in((\mathbf{O}_K/p^k\mathbf{O}_K)^*/\{\pm1\}} B_2\left(\left\langle \frac{\frac{1}{2}(s+\overline{s})}{p^k}\right\rangle\right) w^{-i} \in \mathbb{Q}[H]$$

such that, under the identification of the group H with the set of cusps of $X_{ns}^+(p^k)$, the ideal $\mathbb{Z}[H]\theta \cap \mathbb{Z}[H]$ represents the group of divisors of units of $X_{ns}^+(p^k)$. The Cuspidal Divisor Class Group on $X_{ns}^+(p^k)$ is a module over $\mathbb{Z}[H]$ and, more precisely, we have:

$$\mathfrak{C}_{ns}^+(p^k) \cong \mathbb{Z}_0[H]/(\mathbb{Z}[H]\theta \cap \mathbb{Z}[H]).$$

From the previous statement we will show another result which has a counterpart in cyclotomic field theory.

Theorem 7.4 *For any character χ of $C_{ns}(p^k)/\{\pm I\}$ (identified with an even character of $C_{ns}(p^k)$), we let:*

$$B_{2,\chi} = \sum_{\alpha \in C_{ns}(p^k)/\{\pm I\}} B_2\left(\left\langle \frac{T(\alpha)}{p^k} \right\rangle\right) \chi(\alpha)$$

where $B_2(t) = t^2 - t + \frac{1}{6}$ is the second Bernoulli polynomial and T is a certain $(\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z})$-linear map. Then we have:

$$|\mathfrak{C}_{ns}^+(p^k)| = 24 \frac{\prod \frac{p^k}{2} B_{2,\chi}}{\gcd(12, p+1)(p-1)p^{k-1}}$$

where the product runs over all nontrivial characters χ of $C_{ns}(p^k)/\pm I$ such that $\chi(M) = 1$ for every $M \in C_{ns}(p^k)$ with $\det M = \pm 1$.
In particular, for $k = 1$ let ω be a generator of the character group of $C_{ns}(p)$ and v a generator of $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}^*$. Then:

$$|\mathfrak{C}_{ns}^+(p)| = \frac{24}{(p-1)\gcd(12, p+1)} \prod_{j=1}^{\frac{p-3}{2}} \frac{p}{2} B_{2,\omega^{(2p+2)j}} =$$

$$= \frac{576 \left| \det \left[\frac{p}{2} \left(\sum_{l=0}^{p} B_2\left(\left\langle \frac{\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}(v^{i-j+l\frac{p-1}{2}})}{p} \right\rangle \right) - \frac{p+1}{6} \right) \right]_{1 \le i,j \le \frac{p-1}{2}} \right|}{(p-1)^2 p(p+1)\gcd(12, p+1)}.$$

This theorem could be considered analogous to the relative class number formula [20, Theorem 4.17]:

$$h_m^- = Qw \prod_{\chi \text{ odd}} -\frac{1}{2} B_{1,\chi}$$

where $Q = 1$ if m is a prime power and $Q = 2$ otherwise, w is the number of roots of unity in $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)$ and we encounter the classical generalized Bernoulli numbers:

$$B_{1,\chi} := \sum_{a=1}^{m} \chi(a) B_1\left(\frac{a}{m}\right) = \frac{1}{m}\sum_{a=1}^{m} \chi(a)a \text{ for } \chi \neq 1.$$

In the last section we will explicitly calculate $|\mathfrak{C}_{ns}^{+}(p)|$ for some $p \leq 101$. Consider the isogeny (cfr.[4, Paragraph 6.6]):

$$J_0^{+new}(p^2) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_f A'_{p,f}$$

where the sum is taken over the equivalence classes of newforms $f \in S_2(\Gamma_0^+(p^2))$. From Theorems 8.3, 8.4 and 8.5 we deduce that:

$$|\mathfrak{C}_{ns}^{+}(p)| \text{ divides } \prod_f \mathop{\mathbf{gcd}}_{\substack{q \text{ prime}, q \nmid |\mathfrak{C}_{ns}^{+}(p)|, \\ q \equiv \pm 1 \bmod p}} |A'_{p,f}(\mathbb{F}_q)|.$$

Using the modular form database of W.Stein, we will find out that for $p \leq 31$:

$$|\mathfrak{C}_{ns}^{+}(p)| = \prod_f \mathop{\mathbf{gcd}}_{\substack{q < 500 \text{ prime}, \\ q \equiv \pm 1 \bmod p}} |A'_{p,f}(\mathbb{F}_q)|.$$

2 Galois groups of modular function fields

Following [19, Chapter 1], let $\mathbb{H} = \{x + iy \mid y > 0; x, y \in \mathbb{R}\}$ be the upper-half plane and n a positive integer. The principal congruence subgroup of level n is the subgroup of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ defined as follows:

$$\Gamma(n) := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) : a \equiv d \equiv 1,\ b \equiv c \equiv 0 \mod n \right\}.$$

Then the quotient space $\Gamma(n)\backslash\mathbb{H}$ is complex analytically isomorphic to an affine curve $Y(n)$ that can be compactified by considering $\mathbb{H}^* := \mathbb{H} \cup \mathbb{Q} \cup \{\infty\}$ and by taking the extended quotient:

$$X(n) = \Gamma(n)\backslash\mathbb{H}^* = Y(n) \cup \Gamma(n)\backslash(\mathbb{Q} \cup \{\infty\}).$$

The points $\Gamma(n)\tau$ in $\Gamma(n)\backslash(\mathbb{Q} \cup \{\infty\})$ are called the cusps of $\Gamma(n)$ and can be described by the fractions $s{=}\frac{a}{c}$ with $0 \leq a \leq n-1$, $0 \leq c \leq n-1$ and $\gcd(a,c){=}1$. As a consequence, it is not difficult to infer that $X(n)$ has $\frac{1}{2}n^2 \prod_{p|n}\left(1 - \frac{1}{p^2}\right)$ cusps.

Let $F_{n,\mathbb{C}}$ the field of modular functions of level n. A classical result states that $F_{1,\mathbb{C}} = \mathbb{C}(j)$ where j is the Klein's j-invariant. We shall now find generators for $F_{n,\mathbb{C}}$. Consider:

$$f_0(w;\tau) = -2^7 3^5 \frac{g_2(\tau)g_3(\tau)}{\Delta(\tau)} \wp(w;\tau,1),$$

where Δ is the modular discriminant, $\wp$ is the Weierstrass elliptic function, $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$, $w \in \mathbb{C}$ and $g_2 = 60G_4$ and $g_3 = 140G_6$ are constant multiples of the Eisenstein series:

$$G_{2k}(\tau) = \sum_{(m,n)\in \mathbf{Z}^2 \setminus (0,0)} \frac{1}{(m+n\tau)^{2k}}.$$

For $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$ and not both divisible by n we define $f_{r,s} = f_0(\frac{r\tau+s}{n};\tau)$. Whereas the Weierestrass $\wp$-function is elliptic with respect to the lattice $[\tau, 1]$ it follows that $f_{r,s}$ depends only on the residue of r, s mod n. Thus, it is convenient to use a notation emphasizing this property. If $a = (a_1, a_2) \in \mathbb{Q}^2$ but $a \notin \mathbb{Z}^2$ we call the functions $f_a(\tau) = f_0(a_1\tau + a_2;\tau)$ the Fricke functions. They depend only on the residue class of a mod $\mathbb{Z}^2$.

Theorem 2.1. *We have:*

$$Gal(F_{n,\mathbb{C}}, F_{1,\mathbb{C}}) \cong SL_2(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})/\{\pm I\}.$$

Proof. There is a surjective homeomorphism (see [4, pag.279] and [9, pag.65]):

$$\theta : SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow \text{Aut } (\mathbb{C}(X(n))),$$

$$\gamma \longmapsto (f \longmapsto f^{(\theta(\gamma))} = f \circ \gamma).$$

From $\text{Ker}(\theta) = \pm\Gamma(n)$ and the relations $f_a(\gamma(\tau)) = f_{a\gamma}(\tau)$ it follows easily that $\text{Gal}(F_{n,\mathbb{C}}, F_{1,\mathbb{C}}) \cong \Gamma(1)/\pm\Gamma(n) \cong SL_2(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})/\{\pm I\}$. □

We say that a modular form in $F_{n,\mathbb{C}}$ is defined over a field if all the coefficients of its q-expantion lie in that field and analogously for every $\text{Gal}(F_{n,\mathbb{C}}, F_{1,\mathbb{C}})$-conjugate of the form. Let:

F_n = function field on $X(n)$ consisting of those functions which are defined over the n-th cyclotomic field $\mathbb{Q}_n = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)$.

Theorem 2.2. *The field F_n has the following properties:*
(1) F_n is a Galois extension of $F_1 = \mathbb{Q}(j)$.
(2) $F_n = \mathbb{Q}(j, f_{r,s})_{all(r,s)\in \frac{1}{n}\mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \mathbb{Z}^2}$.
(3) For every $\gamma \in GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$ the map $f_a \mapsto f_{a\gamma}$ gives an element of

$Gal(F_n, \mathbb{Q}(j))$ which we write $\theta(\gamma)$. Then $\gamma \mapsto \theta(\gamma)$ induces an isomorphism of $GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})/\pm I$ to $Gal(F_n, \mathbb{Q}(j))$. The subgroup $SL_2(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})/\pm I$ operates on a modular function by composition with the natural action of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ on the upper half-plane $\mathbb{H}$.
Furthermore the group of matrices $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix}$ operates on F_n as follows:
for $d \in (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^*$ consider the automorphism σ_d of $\mathbb{Q}_n$ such that $\sigma_d(\zeta_n) = \zeta_n^d$. Then σ_d extends to F_n by operating on the coefficients of the power series expansions:

$$\sigma_d(\sum a_i q^{i/n}) = \sum \sigma_d(a_i) q^{i/n} \text{ with } q = e^{2\pi i \tau}.$$

If $(r, s) \in \frac{1}{n}\mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \mathbb{Z}^2$, we have: $\sigma_d(f_{r,s}(\tau)) = f_{r,sd}(\tau)$.

Proof. [17, Theorem 6.6] □

3 Modular Units and Manin-Drinfeld Theorem

In this paper we will focus our attention on the modular units of $X(n)$. In other words, the invertible elements of the integral closure of $\mathbb{Q}[j]$ in F_n. The only pole of $j(\tau)$ is at infinity. So, from the algebraic characterization of the integral closure as the intersection of all valuation subrings containing the given ring, the modular units in F_n are exactly the modular functions which have poles and zeros exclusively at the cusps of $X(n)$.

Let $\mathfrak{D}_n \simeq \bigoplus_{\text{cusps}} \mathbb{Z}$ be the free abelian group of rank $\frac{1}{2}n^2 \prod_{p|n}(1 - \frac{1}{p^2})$ generated by the cusps of $X(n)$. Let $\mathfrak{D}_{n,0}$ be its subgroup consisting of elements of degree 0 and let $\mathfrak{F}_n$ be the subgroup generated by the divisors of modular units in the modular function field F_n. The quotient group:

$$\mathfrak{C}_n := \mathfrak{D}_{n,0}/\mathfrak{F}_n$$

is called the Cuspidal Divisor Class Group on $X(n)$. The previous definition generalizes *mutatis mutandis* to every modular curve X_Γ where Γ is a modular subgroup. Manin and Drinfeld proved that:

Theorem 3.1. *If Γ is a congruence subgroup then all divisors of degree 0 whose support is a subset of the set of cusps of X_Γ have a multiple that is a principal divisor. In other word if $x_1, x_2 \in X_\Gamma$ are cusps, then $x_1 - x_2$ has finite order in the jacobian variety $Jac(X_\Gamma)$.*

Proof. Let x_1, x_2 two cusps in X_Γ. Denote by $\{x_1, x_2\} \in (\Omega^1(X_\Gamma))^*$ the functional on the space of differential of the first kind given by:

$$\{x_1, x_2\} : \omega \mapsto \int_{x_1}^{x_2} \omega.$$

A priori we have $\{x_1, x_2\} \in H_1(X_\Gamma, \mathbb{R})$. Manin and Drinfeld showed that it lies in $H_1(X_\Gamma, \mathbb{Q})$. Cf. [5], [10, Chapter IV] and [11]. □

4 Siegel Functions and Cuspidal Divisor Class Groups

Let $n = p^k$ with $p \geq 5$ prime. Following [7] we will give an explicit description of modular units of $X(n)$ and its cuspidal divisor class group.
Let L a lattice in $\mathbb{C}$. Define the Weierstrass sigma function:

$$\sigma_L(z) = z \prod_{\substack{\omega \in L \\ \omega \neq 0}} \left(1 - \frac{z}{\omega}\right) e^{z/\omega + \frac{1}{2}(z/\omega)^2},$$

which has simple zeros at all non-zero lattice points. Define:

$$\zeta_L(z) = \frac{d}{dz} \log(\sigma_L(z)) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{\substack{\omega \in L \\ \omega \neq 0}} \left[\frac{1}{z - \omega} + \frac{1}{\omega} + \frac{z}{\omega^2}\right],$$

$$\wp_L(z) = -\zeta_L'(z) = \frac{1}{z^2} + \sum_{\substack{\omega \in L \\ \omega \neq 0}} \left[\frac{1}{(z - \omega)^2} - \frac{1}{\omega^2}\right].$$

If $\omega \in L$, by virtue of the periodicity of $\wp_L$ we obtain $\frac{d}{dz}\zeta_L(z + \omega) = \frac{d}{dz}\zeta_L(z)$, whence follows the existence of a $\mathbb{R}$-linear function $\eta_L(z)$ such that:

$$\zeta_L(z + \omega) = \zeta_L(z) + \eta_L(\omega).$$

For $L = [\tau, 1]$ (with $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$) and $a = (a_1, a_2) \in \mathbb{Q}^2 \smallsetminus \mathbb{Z}^2$ we define the Klein forms:

$$\mathfrak{k}_a(\tau) = e^{-\eta_L(a_1\tau + a_2)} \sigma_L(a_1\tau + a_2).$$

Note that $z = a_1\tau + a_2 \notin L = [\tau, 1]$ so we know directly from their definition that the Klein forms are holomorphic functions which have no zeros and poles on the upper half plane.

When Γ is a congruence subgroup and k is an integer, we will say that a holomorphic function $f(\tau)$ on $\mathbb{H}$ is a nearly holomorphic modular form for

Γ of weight k if:
(i) $f(\gamma(\tau)) = (r\tau + s)^k f(\tau)$ for all $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} p & q \\ r & s \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma$;
(ii) $f(\tau)$ is meromorphic at every cusp.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $a = (a_1, a_2) \in \mathbb{Q}^2 \smallsetminus \mathbb{Z}^2$ and $b = (b_1, b_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$. The Klein Forms $\mathfrak{k}_a(\tau)$ have the following properties:*
(1) $\mathfrak{k}_{-a}(\tau) = -\mathfrak{k}_a(\tau)$;
(2) $\mathfrak{k}_{a+b} = \epsilon(a,b)\mathfrak{k}_a(\tau)$ with $\epsilon(a,b) = (-1)^{b_1 b_2 + b_1 + b_2} e^{-\pi i(b_1 a_2 - b_2 a_1)}$;
(3) For every $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} p & q \\ r & s \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ we have:

$$\mathfrak{k}_a(\gamma(\tau)) = \mathfrak{k}_a\left(\frac{p\tau + q}{r\tau + s}\right) = \frac{\mathfrak{k}_{a\gamma}(\tau)}{r\tau + s} = \frac{\mathfrak{k}_{(a_1 p + a_2 r, a_1 q + a_2 s)}(\tau)}{r\tau + s};$$

(4) If $n \geq 2$ and $a \in \frac{1}{n}\mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \mathbb{Z}^2$ then $\mathfrak{k}_a(\tau)$ is a nearly holomorphic modular form for $\Gamma(2n^2)$ of weight -1.
(5) Let $n \geq 3$ odd and $\{m_a\}_{a \in \frac{1}{n}\mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \mathbb{Z}^2}$ a family of integers such that $m_a \neq 0$ occurs only for finitely many a. Then the product of Klein form:

$$\prod_{a \in \frac{1}{n}\mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \mathbb{Z}^2} \mathfrak{k}_a^{m_a}(\tau)$$

is a nearly holomorphic modular form for $\Gamma(n)$ of weight $-\sum_a m_a$ if and only if:

$$\sum_a m_a (n a_1)^2 \equiv \sum_a m_a (n a_2)^2 \equiv \sum_a m_a (n a_1)(n a_2) \equiv 0 \mod n.$$

Proof. Property (2) is nothing more than a reformulation of the Legendre relation: $\eta_{[\tau,1]}(1)\tau - \eta_{[\tau,1]}(\tau) = 2\pi i$. Property (5) is discussed in [7, Chapter 3, Paragraph 4].
For more details see: [7, Chapters 2 and 3] or [9, Chapter 19]. □

We are now ready to define the Siegel function:

$$g_a(\tau) = \mathfrak{k}_a(\tau)\Delta(\tau)^{1/12},$$

where $\Delta(\tau)$ is the square of the Dedekind eta funtion $\eta(\tau)$ (not to be mistaken for the aforementioned $\eta_L(\tau)$):

$$\eta(\tau)^2 = 2\pi i q^{1/12} \prod_{k=1}^{\infty}(1 - q^n)^2 \text{ with } q = e^{2\pi i \tau}.$$

Proposition 4.2. *The set of functions* $\{h_a(\tau) = g_a(\tau)^{12n}\}_{a\in\frac{1}{n}\mathbb{Z}^2\setminus\mathbb{Z}^2}$ *constitute a Fricke family. Just like the Fricke functions* $f_a(\tau)$ *of Theorem 2.2 we have:* $h_a(\tau) \in F_n$*, for every* $\gamma \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ *we have* $h_a(\gamma(\tau)) = h_{a\gamma}(\tau)$ *and in addition if* $\sigma_d \in Gal(\mathbb{Q}_n, \mathbb{Q})$ *then* $\sigma_d(h_{a_1,a_2}(\tau)) = h_{a_1,da_2}(\tau)$*. In other words, the Siegel functions, raised to the appropriate power, are permuted by the elements of the Galois Group* $Gal(F_n, \mathbb{Q}(j))$*.*

Proof. [7, Chapter 2] or [18]. □

Theorem 4.3. *Assume that* $n = p^k$ *for* $p \neq 2, 3$*. Then the units in* F_n *(modulo constants) consist of the power products:*

$$\prod_{a\in\frac{1}{n}\mathbb{Z}^2\setminus\mathbb{Z}^2} g_a^{m_a}(\tau)$$

with:

$$\sum_a m_a(na_1)^2 \equiv \sum_a m_a(na_2)^2 \equiv \sum_a m_a(na_1)(na_2) \equiv 0 \mod n$$

and

$$\sum_a m_a \equiv 0 \mod 12.$$

In addition, if $k \geq 2$ *it is not restrictive to consider power products of Siegel functions* g_a *with primitive index* $a = (a_1, a_2)$*, namely such that* $p^{k-1}a \notin \mathbb{Z}^2$*.*

Proof. See [8], [7, Theorem 3.2, Chapter 2], [7, Theorem 5.2, Chapter 3] and [7, Theorem 1.1, Chapter 4] . The last assertion is a consequence of the distribution relations discussed in [7, pp. 17-23]. □

Following [7] it will be useful to decompose $\mathrm{Gal}(F_{p^k}, \mathbb{Q}(j))$. Let $\mathfrak{o}_p$ the ring of integers in the unramified quadratic extension of the p-adic field $\mathbb{Q}_p$. The group of units $\mathfrak{o}_p^*$ acts on $\mathfrak{o}_p$ by multiplication and after choosing a basis of $\mathfrak{o}_p$ over the p-adic ring $\mathbb{Z}_p$, we obtain an embedding:

$$\mathfrak{o}_p^* \longrightarrow GL_2(\mathbb{Z}_p).$$

We call the image in $GL_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ the Cartan Group at the prime p and indicate it by C_p. It is worth noting that the elements of $\mathfrak{o}_p^*$, written in terms of a basis of $\mathfrak{o}_p$ over $\mathbb{Z}_p$, are characterized by the fact that at least one of the two coefficients is a unit.

Consider now $GL_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ as operating on $\mathbb{Z}_p^2$ on the left and denote by $G_{p,\infty}$ the isotropy group of $\begin{pmatrix}1\\0\end{pmatrix}$. Obviously we have:

$$G_{p,\infty} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} b \in \mathbb{Z}_p, d \in \mathbb{Z}_p^* \right\}.$$

Since C_p operates simply transitively on the set of primitive elements (that is: vectors whose coordinates are not both divisibile by p) we have the following decomposition:

$$GL_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)/\{\pm I\} = (C_p/\{\pm I\})G_{p,\infty}.$$

For each integer k we define the reduction of the Cartan Group $C_p \mod p^k$:

$$C(p^k) = C_p/p^k C_p$$

and let $G_\infty(p^k)$ the reduction of $G_{p,\infty} \mod p^k$:

$$G_\infty(p^k) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} b \in \mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z}, d \in (\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z})^* \right\}.$$

We can now reformulate the previous decomposition as follows:

$$\mathrm{Gal}(F_{p^k},\mathbb{Q}(j)) \simeq GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z})/\{\pm I\} = (C(p^k)/\{\pm I\})G_\infty(p^k).$$

The embedding:

$$F_{p^k} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^k})((q^{1/p^k}))$$

enables us to mesaure for each modular function $f(\tau) \in F_{p^k}$ its order at $\Gamma(p^k)\infty$ in term of the local parameter q^{1/p^k}.

Proposition 4.4. *If $a \in \frac{1}{p^k}\mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \mathbb{Z}^2$, the q-expansion of the Siegel functions shows that:*

$$ord_\infty(g_a(\tau))^{12p^k} = 6p^{2k}B_2(\langle a_1 \rangle)$$

where $B_2(X) = X^2 - X + \frac{1}{6}$ is the second Bernoulli polynomial and $\langle X \rangle$ is the fractional part of X.

Proof. [9, Chapter 19]. □

For every automorphism $\sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}(F_{p^k}, \mathbb{Q}(j))$ and each $h(\tau) \in F_{p^k}$ we have the prime $\sigma^{-1}(\infty)$ which is such that:

$$\mathrm{ord}_{\sigma^{-1}(\infty)}(h(\tau)) = \mathrm{ord}_\infty \sigma(h(\tau))$$

and if $\sigma \in G_\infty(p^k)$:

$$\mathrm{ord}_\infty(h(\tau)) = \mathrm{ord}_\infty \sigma(h(\tau)),$$

so we may identify the cusps of $X(p^k)$ with the elements of the Cartan Group (viewing it as a subgroup of $\mathrm{Gal}(F_{p^k}, \mathbb{Q}(j))$). From now on, we will indicate the cusp $\sigma^{-1}(\infty)$ simply by σ^{-1}.
We may also index the primitive Siegel function by elements of the Cartan Group. Following [7], if $\alpha \in C(p^k)/\{\pm I\}$ we put:

$$g_\alpha = g_{e_1\alpha} \text{ where } e_1 = (\tfrac{1}{p^k}, 0).$$

It should be noted that g_α is defined up to a root of unity (this follows from Proposition 4.1, second claim). Nonetheless, $g_\alpha^{12p^k}$ is univocally defined as well as its divisor:

Proposition 4.5. *We have:*

$$div\ g_\alpha^{12p^k} = 6p^{2k} \sum_{\beta \in C(p^k)/\{\pm I\}} B_2\left(\left\langle \frac{T(\alpha\beta^{-1})}{p^k} \right\rangle\right) \beta$$

where the map T on 2×2 matrices is defined as follows:

$$T : \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \mapsto a.$$

Proof. See [7, Paragraph 5.1] □

The first part of [7] culminates with the theorem below. The computation of the order of the cuspidal divisor class group on $X(p^k)$ could be considered analogous to that in the study of cyclotomic fields: instead of the generalized Bernoulli numbers $B_{1,\chi}$ encountered in the latter case, in the former we will define the second generalized Bernoulli numbers $B_{2,\chi}$.

Theorem 4.6. *Let p a prime ≥ 5. Let $R := \mathbb{Z}[C(p^k)/\{\pm 1\}]$ and R_0 the ideal of R consisting of elements of degree 0. The Cuspidal Divisor Class Group $\mathfrak{C}_{p^k}$ is an $R-$module, more precisely there exists a Stickelberger element*

$$\theta = \frac{p^k}{2} \sum_{\beta \in C(p^k)/\{\pm 1\}} B_2\left(\left\langle \frac{T(\beta)}{p^k} \right\rangle\right) \beta^{-1} \in \mathbb{Q}[C(p^k)/\{\pm 1\}]$$

such that:

$$\mathfrak{C}_{p^k} \cong R_0/R \cap R\theta.$$

For any character χ of $C(p^k)/\{\pm I\}$ (identified with an even character of $C(p^k)$) we let:

$$B_{2,\chi} = \sum_{\alpha \in C(p^k)/\{\pm I\}} B_2\left(\left\langle \frac{T(\alpha)}{p^k} \right\rangle\right) \chi(\alpha).$$

The order of the cuspidal divisor class group on $X(p^k)$ is:

$$|\mathfrak{C}_{p^k}| = \frac{12p^{3k}}{|C(p^k)|}\prod_{\chi\neq 1}\frac{p^k}{2}B_{2,\chi}.$$

Proof. [7, Chapter 5]. □

5 Non-split Cartan Groups

Following [1] or [15, pag. 194], let n a positive integer and let A be a finite free commutative algebra of rank 2 over $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ with unit discriminant. Fixing a basis for A we can use the action of A^* on A to embed A^* in $GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$. If for every prime $p|n$ the $\mathbb{F}_p$ algebra A/pA is isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$, the image of A^* just now described is called a non-split Cartan subgroup of $GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$. Therefore, such a group G has the property that for every prime p dividing n the reduction of $G \mod p$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}^*$. All the non-split Cartan subgroups of $GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$ are conjugate and so are their normalizers.

In this paper we are interested in the case $n = p^k$ and $p \neq 2, 3$. The cases $p = 2$ and $p = 3$ are essentially equal but require more cumbersome calculations (see [7, Theorem 5.3, Chapter 3] and [7, Theorem 1.3, Chapter 4]). Choose a squarefree integer $\epsilon \equiv 3 \mod 4$ and such that its reduction modulo p is a quadratic non-residue. If $p \equiv 3 \mod 4$, a canonical choice could be $\epsilon = -1$. Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\epsilon})$ and $\mathbf{O}_K = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{\epsilon}]$ its ring of integers. After choosing a basis for $\mathbf{O}_K$ over $\mathbb{Z}$ we can represent any element of $(\mathbf{O}_K/p^k\mathbf{O}_K)^*$ with its corresponding multiplication matrix in $GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z})$ with respect to the chosen basis. This embedding produces a non-split Cartan subgroup of $GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z})$ and we will denote it by $C_{ns}(p^k)$. Notice that such a group is isomorphic to the already introduced $C(p^k)$.

To describe the normalizer $C_{ns}^+(p^k)$ of $C_{ns}(p^k)$ in $GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z})$ it will suffice to consider the following group automorphism induced by conjugation by a fixed $c \in C_{ns}^+(p^k)$:

$$\phi_c : C_{ns}(p^k) \longrightarrow C_{ns}(p^k)$$

$$x \longmapsto \phi_c(x) = cxc^{-1}.$$

The group automorphism ϕ_c extends to a ring automorphism of $(\mathbf{O}_K/p^k\mathbf{O}_K) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z})[\sqrt{\epsilon}]$ so if ϕ_c is not the trivial automorphism we necessarily have $\phi_c(\sqrt{\epsilon}) = -\sqrt{\epsilon}$.

Proposition 5.1. *If $p \neq 2$ we have the following isomorphism:*

$$C_{ns}(p^k) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/p^{k-1}\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/p^{k-1}\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/(p^2-1)\mathbb{Z},$$

$$C^+_{ns}(p^k) \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/p^{k-1}\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/p^{k-1}\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/(p^2-1)\mathbb{Z}) \rtimes_\phi \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}.$$

Proof. Let $a_1 + \sqrt{\epsilon}a_2 \in (\mathbf{O}_K/p^k\mathbf{O}_K)$: it is invertible if and only if (a_1, a_2) is primitive or in other words p does not divide both a_1 and a_2 so we have $|C_{ns}(p^k)| = p^{2k-2}(p^2-1)$. Consider the reduction $\mod p$:

$$C_{ns}(p^k) \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}^*_{p^2}$$

$$a_1 + \sqrt{\epsilon}a_2 \longmapsto \overline{a_1} + \sqrt{\epsilon}\overline{a_2}.$$

The map is surjective and let B its kernel:

$$B := \{x \in (\mathbf{O}_K/p^k\mathbf{O}_K)^* \text{ such that } x \equiv 1 \mod p\}.$$

$|B| = p^{2k-2}$: it remains to check that $B \simeq \mathbb{Z}/p^{k-1}\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/p^{k-1}\mathbb{Z}$. Let $k \geq 2$ and $p \neq 2$. First, we check that for all $x \in \mathbf{O}_K$ we have $(1+xp)^{p^{k-2}} \equiv 1 + xp^{k-1} \mod p^k$. In case $k = 2$ there is nothing to prove. We proceed by induction on k: suppose the claim is true for some $k \geq 2$. We have:

$$(1+xp)^{p^{k-2}} = 1 + xp^{k-1} + yp^k,$$

$$(1+xp)^{p^{k-1}} = \sum_{j=0}^{p} \binom{p}{j} (1+xp^{k-1})^{p-j}(yp^k)^j \equiv (1+xp^{k-1})^p \mod p^{k+1},$$

$$(1+xp^{k-1})^p = \sum_{j=0}^{p} \binom{p}{j} (xp^{k-1})^j \equiv 1 + xp^k \mod p^{k+1}.$$

In conclusion: $(1+xp)^{p^{k-1}} \equiv 1 + xp^k \mod p^{k+1}$. From the previous claim follows that if $h \leq k-1$ is such that $x \in p^h\mathbf{O}_K \setminus p^{h+1}\mathbf{O}_K$ then the reduction of $1+xp$ in B has order p^{k-1-h}. So B has $p^{2k-2} - p^{2k-4}$ elements of order p^{k-1} and the proposition is proved. The second isomorphism follows immediately. □

We present now the modular curves $X_{ns}(n)$ and $X^+_{ns}(n)$ associated to the subgroups $C_{ns}(n)$ and $C^+_{ns}(n)$. First of all, $Y(n)$ (the non-cuspidal points of $X(n)$) are isomorphism classes of pairs $(E, (P, Q))$ where E is a complex elliptic curve and (P, Q) constitute a $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$-basis of the n-torsion subgroup $E[n]$ with $e_n(P, Q) = e^{2\pi i/n}$ where e_n is the Weil pairing discussed in details in [4, Chapter 7]. By definition, two pairs $(E, (P, Q))$ and $(E', (P', Q'))$ are considered equivalent in $Y(n)$ if and only if there exists an isomorphism

between E and E' taking P to P' and Q to Q'. Notice that the definition is well-posed since the Weil pairing is invariant under isomorphism, i.e. if $f : E \to E'$ is an isomorphism of elliptic curves and e'_n is the Weil pairing on E' we have:

$$e'_n(f(P), f(Q)) = e_n(P, Q).$$

Since $GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$ acts on $E[n]$ and since for every $\gamma \in GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$ we have $e_n(\gamma(P, Q)) = e_n(P, Q)^{\det \gamma}$, the group $SL_2(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$ acts on $Y(n)$ on the right in the following way:

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \cdot (E, (P, Q)) = (E, (aP + cQ, bP + dQ)).$$

Define:

$$C'_{ns}(n) := C_{ns}(n) \cap SL_2(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}),$$

$$C'^{+}_{ns}(n) := C^{+}_{ns}(n) \cap SL_2(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}),$$

$$\Gamma_{ns}(n) := \{M \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \text{ such that } M \equiv M' \bmod n \text{ for some } M' \in C'_{ns}(n)\},$$

$$\Gamma^{+}_{ns}(n) := \{M \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \text{ such that } M \equiv M' \bmod n \text{ for some } M' \in C'^{+}_{ns}(n)\}.$$

A possible explicit description for these groups is:

$$C_{ns}(p^k) = \left\{ M_s = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ \epsilon b & a \end{pmatrix} \in GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z}) \text{ with } s = a + \sqrt{\epsilon} b \in (\mathbf{O}_K/p^k\mathbf{O}_K)^* \right\},$$

$$C^{+}_{ns}(p^k) = \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ \epsilon b & a \end{pmatrix} \in C_{ns}(p^k) \ , \ C = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle.$$

If $s \in (\mathbf{O}_K/p^k\mathbf{O}_K)^*$ we define $|s| := s\bar{s} \in (\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z})^*$ where $\bar{s}$ is the conjugate of s. So we have:

$$C'_{ns}(p^k) = \left\{ M_s = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ \epsilon b & a \end{pmatrix} \in C_{ns}(p^k) \text{ such that } |s| = |a + \sqrt{\epsilon} b| = 1 \bmod p^k \right\},$$

$$C'^{+}_{ns}(p^k) = C'_{ns}(p^k) \cup \left\{ M_s C = \begin{pmatrix} a & -b \\ \epsilon b & -a \end{pmatrix} \text{ with } |s| = |a + \sqrt{\epsilon} b| = -1 \bmod p^k \right\}.$$

Points in $Y_{ns}(n)$ are nothing but orbits of $Y(n)$ under the action of $C'_{ns}(n)$ and similarly for $Y^{+}_{ns}(n)$ and $C'^{+}_{ns}(n)$. The above-mentioned action extends uniquely to $X(n)$. The quotients $X_{ns}(n)$ and $X^{+}_{ns}(n)$ are isomorphic as Riemann surfaces to $\mathbb{H}^*/\Gamma_{ns}(n)$ and $\mathbb{H}^*/\Gamma^{+}_{ns}(n)$ respectively.

Using the identification of the cusps of $X(p^k)$ with the elements of $C(p^k)/\{\pm I\}$ explained in the previous section we obtain a shorter proof of the first claim of [1, Proposition 7.10]:

Proposition 5.2. *We identify the cusps of $X_{ns}(p^k)$ with $(\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z})^*$ and the cusps of $X^+_{ns}(p^k)$ with $H = (\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z})^*/\{\pm 1\}$. So $X_{ns}(p^k)$ has $p^{k-1}(p-1)$ cusps and $X^+_{ns}(p^k)$ has $p^{k-1}\frac{p-1}{2}$ cusps.*

Proof. We identify the cusps of $X(p^k)$ with the elements of $C(p^k)/\{\pm I\} \cong C_{ns}(p^k)/\{\pm I\} \cong (\mathbf{O}_K/p^k\mathbf{O}_K)^*/\{\pm 1\}$. Bearing this in mind, it is clear that $\pm M_r, \pm M_{r'} \in C_{ns}(p^k)/\{\pm I\}$ represent the same cusp in $X_{ns}(p^k)$ if and only if there exists $s \in (\mathbf{O}_K/p^k\mathbf{O}_K)^*$ with $|s| = 1$ such that $\pm r = \pm sr'$. But this is equivalent to say that $|r| = |sr'| = |r'|$ or $\det M_r = \det M_{r'} \mod p^k$ and consequently we may identify the cusps of $X_{ns}(p^k)$ with $(\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z})^*$. For the same reason $\pm M_r, \pm M_{r'} \in C_{ns}(p^k)/\{\pm I\}$ are indistinguishable in $X^+_{ns}(p^k)$ if and only if they were already indistinguishable in $X_{ns}(p^k)$ or there exists $s' \in (\mathbf{O}_K/p^k\mathbf{O}_K)^*$ with $|s'| = -1$ such that $\pm r = \pm s'\overline{r'}$ that is equivalent to say $|r| = |s'\overline{r'}| = -|r'|$ or $\det M_r = -\det M_{r'} \mod p^k$. In conclusion we may identify the cusps of $X^+_{ns}(p^k)$ with $H = (\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z})^*/\{\pm 1\}$. □

Furthermore, we can deduce that the covering $\pi : X_{ns}(p^k) \to X(p^k)$ is not ramified above the cusps. So the ramification degree of a cusp of $X_{ns}(p^k)$ under the covering projection $\pi' : X_{ns}(p^k) \to SL_2(\mathbb{Z})\backslash\mathbb{H}^*$, is equal to the one of a cusp of $X(p^k)$ respect to $\pi'' : X(p^k) \to SL_2(\mathbb{Z})\backslash\mathbb{H}^*$ that is p^k. The same happens for $X^+_{ns}(p^k)$.

6 Modular units on non-split Cartan curves

Let $t \in ((\mathbf{O}_K/p^k\mathbf{O}_K)^*/\{\pm 1\})$: write it in the form $t = a_1 + \sqrt{\epsilon}a_2$ choosing $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $0 \le a_1 \le \frac{p^k-1}{2}$, $0 \le a_2 \le p^k - 1$ and $a_2 \le \frac{p^k-1}{2}$ if $a_1 = 0$. Define:

$$[t] := \frac{1}{p^k}(a_1, a_2).$$

If $s \in (\mathbf{O}_K/p^k\mathbf{O}_K)^*$ we define $[s] := [\{\pm s\}]$. Notice that if $s, t \in (\mathbf{O}_K/p^k\mathbf{O}_K)^*$, $|s| = 1$ and $\gamma_s \in \Gamma_{ns}(p^k)$ lifts M_s we have:

$$[t]\gamma_s - [ts] \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \text{ or } [t]\gamma_s + [ts] \in \mathbb{Z}^2.$$

Analogously if $|s| = -1$ and γ lifts M_sC to $\Gamma^+_{ns}(p^k)$ we have:

$$[t]\gamma - [\overline{ts}] \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \text{ or } [t]\gamma + [\overline{ts}] \in \mathbb{Z}^2.$$

These relations together with Proposition 4.1 imply:

Proposition 6.1. *The Klein forms:* $\mathfrak{k}_{[t]\gamma_s}(\tau)$ *and* $\mathfrak{k}_{[ts]}(\tau)$ *up to a* $2p^k-$*th root of unity represent the same function in the sense that:*

$$\mathfrak{k}_{[t]\gamma_s}(\tau) = c\mathfrak{k}_{[ts]}(\tau)$$

for some $c \in \boldsymbol{\mu_{2p^k}}$*. Similarly, for the Klein forms* $\mathfrak{k}_{[t]\gamma}(\tau)$ *and* $\mathfrak{k}_{[\overline{ts}]}(\tau)$ *we have:*

$$\mathfrak{k}_{[t]\gamma}(\tau) = c'\mathfrak{k}_{[\overline{ts}]}(\tau)$$

for some $c' \in \boldsymbol{\mu_{2p^k}}$*.*

For $h \in (\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z})^*$ we define the following complex-valued functions on $\mathbb{H}$:

$$T_h(\tau) := \prod_{t\in((\mathbf{O}_K/p^k\mathbf{O}_K)^*/\{\pm 1\}),|t|=h} \mathfrak{k}_{[t]}(\tau),$$

$$G_h(\tau) := T_h(\tau)(\Delta(\tau))^{p^{k-1}\frac{p+1}{24}} = \prod_{t\in((\mathbf{O}_K/p^k\mathbf{O}_K)^*/\{\pm 1\}),|t|=h} g_{[t]}(\tau).$$

For $h \in (\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z})^*/\{\pm 1\}$ consider:

$$T_h^+(\tau) := \prod_{t\in((\mathbf{O}_K/p^k\mathbf{O}_K)^*/\{\pm 1\}),\pm|t|=h} \mathfrak{k}_{[t]}(\tau),$$

$$G_h^+(\tau) := T_h^+(\tau)(\Delta(\tau))^{p^{k-1}\frac{p+1}{12}} = \prod_{t\in((\mathbf{O}_K/p^k\mathbf{O}_K)^*/\{\pm 1\}),\pm|t|=h} g_{[t]}(\tau).$$

Proposition 6.2. *Let* $p \neq 2, 3$ *a prime. Consider:*

$$g(\tau) = \prod_{x\in((\mathbf{O}_K/p^k\mathbf{O}_K)^*/\{\pm 1\}))} g_{[x]}^{m(x)}(\tau)$$

and suppose that it is a modular unit on $X(p^k)$ *(or equivalently that it satisfies the conditions of Theorem 4.3). If* $g(\tau)$ *is a modular unit on* $X_{ns}(p^k)$ *there exist integers* $\{n_h\}_{h\in(\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z})^*}$ *such that:*

$$g(\tau) = \prod_{h\in(\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z})^*} G_h^{n_h}(\tau).$$

Similarly, if the function $g(\tau)$ *is a modular unit on* $X_{ns}^+(p^k)$*, there exist integers* $\{n_h^+\}_{h\in(\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z})^*/\{\pm 1\}}$ *such that:*

$$g(\tau) = \prod_{h\in((\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z})^*/\{\pm 1\})} G_h^{+n_h^+}(\tau).$$

Proof. We look for conditions on the exponents $\{m(x)\}_{x\in((\mathbf{O}_K/p^k\mathbf{O}_K)^*/\{\pm1\})}$ that guarantee:

$$\frac{g(\sigma^{-1}(\tau))}{g(\tau)} \in \mathbb{C} \text{ for every } \sigma \in \Gamma_{ns}(p^k) \text{ (respectively } \Gamma^+_{ns}(p^k)).$$

From Proposition 4.1, assertion (3), the fact that $\Delta(\tau)$ is weakly modular of weight 12 and that by hypotesis 12 divides $\sum m(x)$ we have:

$$g(\sigma^{-1}(\tau)) = (\Delta(\sigma^{-1}(\tau)))^{\frac{1}{12}\sum m(x)} \prod \mathfrak{k}^{m(x)}_{[x]}(\sigma^{-1}(\tau)) =$$

$$= (\Delta(\tau))^{\frac{1}{12}\sum m(x)} \prod \mathfrak{k}^{m(x)}_{[x]\sigma^{-1}}(\tau).$$

By Proposition 5.1, $C'_{ns}(p^k)$ is a cyclic group with $(p+1)p^{k-1}$ elements. Let M_r be a generator where r is a generator of:

$$\{s \in (\mathbf{O}_K/p^k\mathbf{O}_K)^* \text{ with } |s| = 1\}.$$

Every $S \in C'^{+}_{ns}(p^k) \setminus C'_{ns}(p^k)$ is of the form M_tC where $t \in (\mathbf{O}_K/p^k\mathbf{O}_K)^*$ and $|t| = -1$. Fix S and choose γ_r lifting M_r in $\Gamma_{ns}(p^k)$ and γ_t lifting M_t in $GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ with $\det\gamma_t = -1$. Of course γ_tC lifts S in $\Gamma^+_{ns}(p^k)$.
For every j we have that:

$$((([x] \bmod \mathbb{Z}^2)/\{\pm1\}) \longmapsto ((([xr^j] \bmod \mathbb{Z}^2)/\{\pm1\}) \text{ and}$$

$$((([x] \bmod \mathbb{Z}^2)/\{\pm1\}) \longmapsto ((([\overline{xr^jt}] \bmod \mathbb{Z}^2)/\{\pm1\})$$

are permutations of the primitive elements in $((\frac{1}{p^k}\mathbb{Z})^2 \bmod \mathbb{Z}^2)/(\pm1)$.
As a consequence of these observations and Proposition 6.1, taking $\sigma = (\gamma_r)^j$ we have:

$$(\Delta(\tau))^{\frac{1}{12}\sum m(x)} \prod \mathfrak{k}^{m(x)}_{[x]\gamma_r^{-j}}(\tau) = (\Delta(\tau))^{\frac{1}{12}\sum m(x)} \prod \mathfrak{k}^{m(xr^j)}_{[xr^j]\gamma_r^{-j}}(\tau) =$$

$$= c_j(\Delta(\tau))^{\frac{1}{12}\sum m(x)} \prod \mathfrak{k}^{m(xr^j)}_{[x]}(\tau) = c_j \prod g^{m(xr^j)}_{[x]}(\tau),$$

where $\{c_j\}_j$ are $2p^k-$th roots of unity. Taking $\sigma = (\gamma_r)^j\gamma_tC$ we obtain:

$$(\Delta(\tau))^{\frac{1}{12}\sum m(x)} \prod \mathfrak{k}^{m(x)}_{[x]C\gamma_t^{-1}\gamma_r^{-j}}(\tau) = (\Delta(\tau))^{\frac{1}{12}\sum m(x)} \prod \mathfrak{k}^{m(\overline{xr^jt})}_{[\overline{xr^jt}]C\gamma_t^{-1}\gamma_r^{-j}}(\tau) =$$

$$= d_j(\Delta(\tau))^{\frac{1}{12}\sum m(x)} \prod \mathfrak{k}^{m(\overline{xr^jt})}_{[x]}(\tau) = d_j \prod g^{m(\overline{xr^jt})}_{[x]}(\tau),$$

where $\{d_j\}_j$ are $2p^k-$th roots of unity. Consider the following expression:

$$\frac{g(\gamma_r^{-1}(\tau))}{g(\tau)} = c_1 \prod g^{m(xr)-m(x)}_{[x]}(\tau).$$

By the independence of Siegel functions [7, p.42 or p.120] a product $\prod g_{[x]}^{l(x)}$ is constant if and only if the exponents $l(x)$ are all equal. So the previous quotient is constant if and only if:

$$a(xr^j) = m(xr^{j+1}) - m(xr^j) \text{ satisfy } a(xr^j) = a(xr^l) \text{ for all } j, l \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

But $(\gamma_r)^{\frac{p+1}{2}p^{k-1}} \equiv -I \mod p^k$ and $r^{\frac{p+1}{2}p^{k-1}} = -1 \mod p^k$. So we have that $\sum_{j=1}^{\frac{p+1}{2}p^{k-1}} a(xr^j) = 0$ and consequently $a(xr^j) = 0$ for every j, which implies that $m(xr^j)$ does not depend on j. Since $g(\tau)$ is $\Gamma(p^k)-$invariant and every element in $\Gamma_{ns}(p^k)$ can be written in the form $\gamma\gamma_r^j$ with $\gamma \in \Gamma(p^k)$, we conclude that if $g(\sigma^{-1}(\tau))/g(\tau) \in \mathbb{C}$ for every $\sigma \in \Gamma_{ns}(p^k)$, this implies that if $|x| = |y|$ then $m(x) = m(y)$. For each h invertible mod p^k choose x with $|x| = h$, put $n_h := m(x)$ and the first claim follows.

Consider now:

$$\frac{g((C\gamma_t^{-1})(\tau))}{g(\tau)} = d_0 \prod g_{[x]}^{m(\overline{xt})-m(x)}(\tau).$$

If this quotient is constant the exponent of $g_{[x]}(\tau)$ is equal to the exponent of the Siegel function $g_{[\overline{x}rt]}(\tau)$. So:

$$m(\overline{xt}) - m(x) = m(x\overline{rt^2}) - m(\overline{x}rt)$$

or equivalently: $m(\overline{xt}) + m(\overline{x}rt) = m(x) + m(x\overline{rt^2})$. But $|rt\overline{t^{-1}}| = 1$ so $m(\overline{xt}) = m(\overline{x}rt)$ and $|\overline{rt^2}| = 1$ so $m(x) = m(x\overline{rt^2})$. Hence $m(x) = m(\overline{xt})$ and observe that $|x| = -|\overline{xt}|$. So, in consideration of the previous result, we can conclude that $g(\sigma^{-1}(\tau))/g(\tau) \in \mathbb{C}$ for every $\sigma \in \Gamma_{ns}^+(p^k)$ implies that if $|x| = |y|$ or $|x| = -|y|$ then $m(x) = m(y)$. For every $h \in (\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z})^*/\{\pm 1\}$ choose x such that $\pm|x| = h$ and define $n_h^+ := m(x)$ and the second claim follows. □

Proposition 6.3. *The product:*

$$\prod_{h \in (\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z})^*} T_h^{n_h}(\tau)$$

is a nearly holomorphic modular form for $\Gamma(p^k)$ if and only if p divides $\sum_h n_h h$.

Proof. First of all, for every h invertible mod p^k:

$$(1) \qquad \sum_{\substack{\pm s \in ((\mathbf{O}_K/p^k\mathbf{O}_K)^*/\{\pm 1\}) \\ |\pm s| = h}} \left(\frac{1}{2}(s + \overline{s})\right)^2 = \frac{h}{4}(p+1)p^{k-1} \mod p^k,$$

$$(2)\qquad \sum_{\substack{\pm s \in ((\mathbf{O}_K/p^k\mathbf{O}_K)^*/\{\pm 1\}) \\ |\pm s| = h}} \left(\frac{1}{2\sqrt{\epsilon}}(s-\overline{s})\right)^2 = -\frac{h}{4\epsilon}(p+1)p^{k-1} \mod p^k,$$

$$(3)\qquad \sum_{\substack{\pm s \in ((\mathbf{O}_K/p^k\mathbf{O}_K)^*/\{\pm 1\}) \\ |\pm s| = h}} \left(\frac{1}{2}(s+\overline{s})\right)\left(\frac{1}{2\sqrt{\epsilon}}(s-\overline{s})\right) = 0 \mod p^k.$$

We prove only the first assertion because the other statements can be shown by the same argument . Every $s \in (\mathbf{O}_K/p^k\mathbf{O}_K)^*$ with $|s| = h$ can be written as $s = r^i\alpha_h$ where r is a generator of the subgroup $\{t \in (\mathbf{O}_K/p^k\mathbf{O}_K)^*$ with $|t| = 1\}$ and α_h are fixed elements such that $|\alpha_h| = h$.

$$\sum_{|\pm s|=h} (\frac{1}{2}(s+\overline{s}))^2 = \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{p+1}{2}p^{k-1}-1} (\frac{1}{2}(r^i\alpha_h + \overline{r^i\alpha_h}))^2 =$$

$$= \frac{\alpha_h^2}{4}\sum_{i=0}^{\frac{p+1}{2}p^{k-1}-1}(r^{2i}) + \frac{\overline{\alpha_h^2}}{4}\sum_{i=0}^{\frac{p+1}{2}p^{k-1}-1}(r^{-2i}) + \frac{\alpha_h\overline{\alpha_h}}{4}(p+1)p^{k-1}$$

and the assertion (1) follows because:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\frac{p+1}{2}p^{k-1}-1} r^{2i} = \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{p+1}{2}p^{k-1}-1} r^{-2i} = \frac{1-r^{(p+1)p^{k-1}}}{1-r^2} = 0 \mod p^k$$

To prove this proposition we apply Proposition 4.1 to the product $\prod_h T_h^{n_h}(\tau)$. Considering that for every $s = a_1 + \sqrt{\epsilon}a_2 \in (\mathbf{O}_K/p^k\mathbf{O}_K)^*$ we have:

$p^k[s] \equiv (a_1, a_2) \mod (p^k\mathbb{Z})^2$ or $p^k[s] \equiv -(a_1, a_2) \mod (p^k\mathbb{Z})^2$ and:

$$(a_1, a_2) \equiv \left(\frac{1}{2}(s+\overline{s}), \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\epsilon}}(s-\overline{s})\right) \mod (p^k\mathbb{Z})^2$$

and reformulating condition (5) of Proposition 4.1 in terms of assertions (1),(2) and (3) we attain the desired result. □

From this proposition it follows immediately that the functions $T_h^+(\tau)$ are nearly holomorphic for $\Gamma(p^k)$. We will examine them further in details.

For every $s = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ define:

$$J_s(\tau) = (c\tau + d)^{-(p+1)p^{k-1}}, \tau \in \mathbb{H}.$$

Proposition 6.4. *For every prime $p \equiv 3 \bmod 4$, for every $h \in ((\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z})^*/\{\pm 1\})$ and for every $s \in \Gamma_{ns}^+(p^k)$ we have:*

$$T_h^+(s(\tau)) = J_s(\tau)T_h^+(\tau)$$

in other words $T_h^+(\tau)$ is a nearly holomorphic modular form for $\Gamma_{ns}^+(p^k)$ of weight $-(p+1)p^{k-1}$.
If $p \equiv 1 \bmod 4$ and $s \in \Gamma_{ns}(p^k)$ we have:

$$T_h^+(s(\tau)) = J_s(\tau)T_h^+(\tau)$$

in other words $T_h^+(\tau)$ is a nearly holomorphic modular form for $\Gamma_{ns}(p^k)$ of weight $-(p+1)p^{k-1}$.
If $p \equiv 1 \bmod 4$ and $s \in \Gamma_{ns}^+(p^k) \setminus \Gamma_{ns}(p^k)$ we have:

$$T_h^+(s(\tau)) = -J_s(\tau)T_h^+(\tau).$$

Proof. It is clear from Proposition 4.1 that for every $s \in \Gamma_{ns}^+(p^k)$ there exists a $2p^k-$th root of unity c such that: $T_h^+(s(\tau)) = cT_h^+(\tau)J_s(\tau)$ so it is natural to define:

$$C_h(s) = \frac{T_h^+(s(\tau))}{T_h^+(\tau)J_s(\tau)} \in \boldsymbol{\mu_{2p^k}}.$$

On the one hand:

$$T_h^+((ss')(\tau)) = C_h(ss')T_h^+(\tau)J_{ss'}(\tau),$$

on the other hand:

$$T_h^+(s(s'(\tau))) = C_h(s)T_h^+(s'(\tau))J_s(s'(\tau)) = C_h(s)C_h(s')J_s(s'(\tau))J_{s'}(\tau)T_h^+(\tau).$$

Considering that $J_{ss'}(\tau) = J_s(s'(\tau))J_{s'}(\tau)$ we have:

$$C_h(ss') = C_h(s)C_h(s').$$

From Proposition 6.3 we deduce easily that $C_h(\pm\Gamma(p^k)) = 1$ for every h. So C_h are characters of $\Gamma_{ns}^+(p^k)/\pm\Gamma(p^k)$. Since this quotient is isomorphic to $C_{ns}'^+(p^k)/\{\pm I\}$ and since for every $\alpha \in C_{ns}'^+(p^k) \setminus C_{ns}'(p^k)$ we have $\alpha^2 = -I$, by Proposition 5.1 we obtain that $\Gamma_{ns}^+(p^k)/\pm\Gamma(p^k)$ is a dihedral group of $(p+1)p^{k-1}$ elements. These observations entail *ipso facto* that $C_h(s) \in \{\pm 1\}$. As in Proposition 6.2 choose a matrix $\gamma_r \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ lifting $M_r \in C_{ns}'(p^k)$ where r generates the subgroup of $(\mathbf{O}_K/p^k\mathbf{O}_K)^*$ of elements of norm 1. Choose $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ in $\Gamma_{ns}^+(p^k) \setminus \Gamma_{ns}(p^k)$. It is not restrictive to suppose that $a = d \bmod 2$. If this did not happen we would alternatively choose:

$$\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & p^k \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & ap^k + b \\ c & cp^k + d \end{pmatrix}.$$

If $a \not\equiv d \bmod 2$ then b and c are inevitably odd because $ad - bc = 1$ so the new coefficients on the diagonal verify $a \equiv cp^k + d \bmod 2$.
Notice that $\{\gamma\gamma_r^j\gamma^{-1}, \gamma\gamma_r^j\}_{j=1,\ldots,\frac{p+1}{2}(p^{k-1})}$ is a set of representatives of cosets for the quotient group $\Gamma_{ns}^+(p^k)/\pm\Gamma(p^k)$. Furthermore if $h \in (\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z})^*/\{\pm 1\}$ and $s \in (\mathbf{O}_K/p^k\mathbf{O}_K)^*/\{\pm 1\}$ with $\pm|s| = h$, there exists a $2p^k-$th root of unity c' such that:

$$T_h^+(\tau) = c' \prod_{j=1}^{\frac{p+1}{2}p^{k-1}} \mathfrak{k}_{[s]\gamma\gamma_r^j\gamma^{-1}}(\tau) \prod_{j=1}^{\frac{p+1}{2}p^{k-1}} \mathfrak{k}_{[s]\gamma\gamma_r^j}(\tau).$$

We calculate:

$$T_h^+(\gamma(\tau)) = c'J_\gamma(\tau) \prod_{j=1}^{\frac{p+1}{2}p^{k-1}} \mathfrak{k}_{[s]\gamma\gamma_r^j}(\tau) \prod_{j=1}^{\frac{p+1}{2}p^{k-1}} \mathfrak{k}_{[s]\gamma\gamma_r^j\gamma}(\tau) =$$

$$= c'(-1)^{\frac{p+1}{2}} J_\gamma(\tau) \prod_{j=1}^{\frac{p+1}{2}p^{k-1}} \mathfrak{k}_{[s]\gamma\gamma_r^j}(\tau) \prod_{j=1}^{\frac{p+1}{2}p^{k-1}} \mathfrak{k}_{-[s]\gamma\gamma_r^j\gamma}(\tau).$$

But $\gamma\gamma_r^j\gamma^{-1} \equiv -\gamma\gamma_r^j\gamma \bmod p^k$ and $\gamma^{-1} + \gamma$ (in agreement with the previous convention) has all even coefficients so:

$$[s]\gamma\gamma_r^j\gamma^{-1} - (-[s]\gamma\gamma_r^j\gamma) = [s]\gamma\gamma_r^j(\gamma^{-1} + \gamma) \in (2\mathbb{Z})^2$$

and considering Proposition 4.1 part (2) we have:

$$\frac{\mathfrak{k}_{-[s]\gamma\gamma_r^j\gamma}(\tau)}{\mathfrak{k}_{[s]\gamma\gamma_r^j\gamma^{-1}}(\tau)} \in \boldsymbol{\mu_{p^k}}.$$

Therefore:

$$C_h(\gamma) = (-1)^{\frac{p+1}{2}} \prod_{j=1}^{\frac{p+1}{2}p^{k-1}} \frac{\mathfrak{k}_{-[s]\gamma\gamma_r^j\gamma}(\tau)}{\mathfrak{k}_{[s]\gamma\gamma_r^j\gamma^{-1}}(\tau)},$$

so $C_h(\gamma)(-1)^{\frac{p+1}{2}} \in \boldsymbol{\mu_{p^k}} \cap \{\pm 1\}$, we have necessarily $C_h(\gamma) = (-1)^{\frac{p+1}{2}}$ for every $\gamma \in \Gamma_{ns}^+(p^k) \setminus \Gamma_{ns}(p^k)$ and the proposition follows. □

Theorem 6.5. *If $p \neq 2, 3$ the subgroup of modular units in F_{p^k} of $X_{ns}^+(p^k)$ consists (modulo constants) of power products:*

$$g(\tau) = \prod_{h \in ((\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z})^*/\{\pm 1\})} G_h^{+n_h^+}(\tau)$$

where $d = \dfrac{12}{\gcd(12, p+1)}$ *divides* $\displaystyle\sum_h n_h^+$.

Proof. By Proposition 6.2 and Theorem 4.3, every modular unit on $X_{ns}^+(p^k)$ can be written in the above indicated way. In fact, $d|\sum_h n_h^+$ is equivalent to saying: $12|(p+1)p^{k-1}\sum_h n_h^+$.
By Proposition 6.4 all the functions of this form are modular units of $X_{ns}^+(p^k)$. In fact, if $p \equiv 3 \bmod 4$, the functions $T_h^+(\tau)$ are nearly holomorphic modular forms for $X_{ns}^+(p^k)$. If $p \equiv 1 \bmod 4$, even if the functions $T_h^+(\tau)$ are not nearly holomorphic modular forms for $X_{ns}^+(p^k)$, the product $\prod_h {T_h^+}^{n_h^+}(\tau)$ has this property, because $\sum_h n_h^+$ is even in this case. □

Notice that such a writing for $g(\tau)$ is not unique because of the fact that the following product is constant:

$$\prod_{h\in((\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z})^*/\{\pm 1\})} G_h^+(\tau) = \prod_{t\in(\mathbf{O}_K/p^k\mathbf{O}_K)^*/\{\pm 1\}} g_{[t]}(\tau).$$

Remark 6.6. Let g be a generator of $(\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z})^*$. Choose $s \in (\mathbf{O}_K/p^k\mathbf{O}_K)^*$ with $|s| = g$ and denote with $\rho \in Gal(F_{p^k}, \mathbb{Q}(j))$ the automorphism corresponding to the matrix M_s respect to the isomorphism $Gal(F_{p^k}, \mathbb{Q}(j)) \cong GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z})/\pm I$ described in Theorem 2.2. Let $F_{ns}^+(p^k)$ be the subfield of F_{p^k} fixed by $C_{ns}'^+(p^k)/\pm I$. Choose $\sigma \in Gal(F_{p^k}, \mathbb{Q}(j))$. From Galois theory we have:

$$Gal(F_{p^k}, \sigma(F_{ns}^+(p^k))) = \sigma Gal(F_{p^k}, F_{ns}^+(p^k))\sigma^{-1}$$

thus saying that $\sigma(F_{ns}^+(p^k)) = F_{ns}^+(p^k)$ amounts to say that σ belongs to the normalizer of $C_{ns}'^+(p^k)/\pm I$, in other words we have: $\sigma \in C_{ns}^+(p^k)/\pm I$. Consider $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in C_{ns}^+(p^k)/\pm I$. We have $\sigma_1(f(\tau)) = \sigma_2(f(\tau))$ for every $f(\tau) \in F_{ns}^+(p^k)$ if and only if $\sigma_1\sigma_2^{-1} \in C_{ns}'^+(p^k)/\pm I$ or equivalently $\det\sigma_1 = \det\sigma_2$. So every automorphism $\sigma \restriction_{F_{ns}^+(p^k)}: F_{ns}^+(p^k) \to F_{ns}^+(p^k)$ fixing $\mathbb{Q}(j)$ can be written in the form $\sigma = \rho^j$ for some $0 \le j \le \varphi(p^k) - 1$. Notice that if

$$f(\tau) = \prod_{h\in((\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z})^*/\{\pm 1\})} {G_h^+}^{n_h^+}(\tau)$$

and

$$h(\tau) = \prod_{h\in((\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z})^*/\{\pm 1\})} {G_{h(\pm g)}^+}^{n_h^+}(\tau)$$

are modular units on $X_{ns}^+(p^k)$, from proposition 4.2 we have:

$$(\rho(f(\tau)))^{12p^k} = \rho(f(\tau)^{12p^k}) = \rho\left(\prod_{h\in((\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z})^*/\{\pm 1\})} {G_h^+}^{12p^k n_h^+}(\tau)\right) =$$

$$= \prod_{h\in((\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z})^*/\{\pm 1\})} {G_{h(\pm g)}^+}^{12p^k n_h^+}(\tau) = (h(\tau))^{12p^k}.$$

So $\rho(f(\tau)) = ch(\tau)$ for some $c \in \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^k})$ and all the functions $\rho^j(f(\tau))$ are modular units. Choosing $j = \frac{1}{2}\varphi(p^k)$ we deduce that for every modular unit $f(\tau)$ on $X_{ns}^+(p^k)$ there exist $c' \in \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^k})$ such that:

$$c' f(\tau) \in \mathbb{Q}\left(\cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{p^k}\right)\right)((q^{p^{-k}})) \text{ with } q = e^{2\pi i\tau}.$$

7 Cuspidal Divisor Class Group of non-split Cartan curves

Let $p \geq 5$ a prime and let $R = \mathbb{Z}[H]$ be the group ring of $H = (\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z})^*/\{\pm 1\}$ over $\mathbb{Z}$. Let w be a generator of H. For $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z}$, let be $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ congruent to α mod p^k. We define:

$$\left\langle \frac{\alpha}{p} \right\rangle := \left\langle \frac{a}{p} \right\rangle.$$

Define the Stickelberger element:

$$\theta = \frac{p^k}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}p^{k-1}} \sum_{\substack{s \in ((\mathbf{O}_K/p^k\mathbf{O}_K)^*/\{\pm 1\}) \\ \pm|s| = w^i}} B_2\left(\left\langle \frac{\frac{1}{2}(s+\overline{s})}{p^k} \right\rangle\right) w^{-i} \in \mathbb{Q}[H].$$

Define the ideals:

$$R_0 := \Big\{ \sum b_j w^j \in R \text{ such that } \deg\Big(\sum b_j w^j\Big) = \sum b_j = 0 \Big\},$$

$$R_d := \Big\{ \sum b_j w^j \in R \text{ such that } d \text{ divides } \deg\Big(\sum b_j w^j\Big) = \sum b_j \Big\}.$$

Now we can state the main result:

Main Theorem 7.1. *The group generated by the divisors of modular units in F_{p^k} of the curve $X_{ns}^+(p^k)$ can be expressed both as $R_d\theta$ and as Stickelberger module $R\theta \cap R$. The Cuspidal Divisor Class Group on $X_{ns}^+(p^k)$ is a module over $Z[H]$ and we have the following isomorphism:*

$$\mathfrak{C}_{ns}^+(p^k) \cong R_0/R_d\theta.$$

Proof. For every $i \in \mathbb{Z}/\frac{\varphi(p^k)}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ define:

$$a_i = \frac{p^k}{2} \sum_{\substack{s \in ((\mathbf{O}_K/p^k\mathbf{O}_K)^*/\{\pm 1\}) \\ \pm|s| = w^i}} B_2\left(\left\langle \frac{\frac{1}{2}(s+\overline{s})}{p^k} \right\rangle\right).$$

We identify the cusps of $X_{ns}^{+}(p^k)$ with the elements in $H = (\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z})^*/\{\pm 1\}$ as explained in Proposition 5.2. In consideration of Proposition 4.5 we obtain:

$$\text{div } G_{w^j}^{+\ d}(\tau) = d \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}p^{k-1}} a_i w^{j-i}.$$

If $p \not\equiv 11 \bmod 12$, the function $G_{w^j}^{+}(\tau)$ is not $\Gamma_{ns}^{+}(p^k)-$invariant but with a slight abuse of notation we write:

$$\text{div } G_{w^j}^{+}(\tau) = \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}p^{k-1}} a_i w^{j-i}.$$

It is clear that $\text{div } G_{w^i}^{+}(\tau) \in \mathbb{Q}[H]$ and $d \text{ div } G_{w^i}^{+}(\tau) \in R$. Consider the Stickelberger element:

$$\text{div } G_{\{\pm 1\}}^{+}(\tau) = \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}p^{k-1}} a_i w^{-i} =$$

$$= \frac{p^k}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}p^{k-1}} \sum_{\pm|s|=w^i} B_2\left(\left\langle \frac{\frac{1}{2}(s+\overline{s})}{p^k} \right\rangle\right) w^{-i} = \theta.$$

Notice that: $\text{div } G_{w^j}^{+}(\tau) = w^j\theta$. By Theorem 6.5, a $\Gamma_{ns}^{+}(p^k)-$invariant function $g(\tau) \in F_{p^k}$ is a modular unit of $X_{ns}^{+}(p^k)$, if and only if $\text{div } g(\tau) \in R_d\theta$. By [7, Proposition 2.3, Chapter 5] we have $R_d\theta = R\theta \cap R$. □

Remark 7.2. Following Remark 6.6, consider $G := \dfrac{C_{ns}^{+}(p^k)/\pm I}{C_{ns}'^{+}(p^k)/\pm I} \cong (\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z})^*$ and let ρ be a generator of $G/\{\pm 1\}$ with $\pm \det \rho = w$. We may identify the group H parameterizing the cusps of $X_{ns}^{+}(p^k)$ with $G/\{\pm 1\}$ observing that for every automorphism $\rho^j \in G/\{\pm 1\}$ and each moduar unit $h(\tau) \in F_{ns}^{+}(p^k)$ we have:

$$\text{ord}_{w^{-j}}(h(\tau)) = \text{ord}_{\rho^{-j}(\infty)}(h(\tau)) = \text{ord}_{\infty}\rho^j(h(\tau))$$

and

$$\text{div}(\rho^j(h(\tau))) = w^j \text{div}(h(\tau)).$$

If $\sum a_j\rho^j \in \mathbb{Z}[G/\{\pm 1\}] \cong \mathbb{Z}[H]$ we define

$$\left(\sum a_j w^j\right)(h(\tau)) = \prod \rho^j(h(\tau))^{a_j}$$

and clearly we have:

$$\text{div}\left(\prod \rho^j(h(\tau))^{a_j}\right) = \left(\sum a_j w^j\right) \text{div}(h(\tau))$$

so $\mathfrak{C}^+_{ns}(p^k)$ has a natural structure of $\mathbb{Z}[H]$-module which emphasizes the analogy with the classical theory of cyclotomic fields recalled in the introductory section.

Define:

$$\theta' = \theta - \frac{(p+1)p^{2k-1}}{12} \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}p^{k-1}} w^i$$

and observe that $\theta' \in R$ and $\deg(\theta') = -\frac{p^2-1}{24}p^{3k-2}$.

Proposition 7.3. *We have:*

$$R_0 \cap \left(R\theta' + p^{2k-1}R \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}p^{k-1}} w^i \right) = R_d\theta.$$

Proof. Let $\alpha, \beta \in R$ such that:

$$\alpha\theta' + p^{2k-1}\beta \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}p^{k-1}} w^i \in R_0.$$

Then

$$-\deg(\alpha)\frac{p^2-1}{24}p^{3k-2} + p^{2k-1}\deg(\beta)\frac{p-1}{2}p^{k-1} = 0$$

implies $(p+1)\deg(\alpha) = 12\deg(\beta)$. This is equivalent to say:

$$d = \frac{12}{\gcd(12, p+1)} \text{ divides } \deg(\alpha)$$

and

$$\alpha\theta' + p^{2k-1}\beta \sum w^i = \alpha\theta' + \frac{(p+1)p^{2k-1}\deg(\alpha)}{12} \sum w^i = \alpha\theta.$$

□

Theorem 7.4. *We have:*

$$|\mathfrak{C}^+_{ns}(p^k)| = \frac{|\det A_{\theta'}|}{\frac{p^2-1}{24}p^{k-1}e} = 24\frac{\prod \frac{p^k}{2}B_{2,\chi}}{\gcd(12, p+1)(p-1)p^{k-1}},$$

where $A_{\theta'}$ is a circulant Toeplitz matrix, $e = p^{3k-2}\frac{p-1}{2d}$ and the product runs over all nontrivial characters χ of $C(p^k)/\pm I$ such that $\chi(M) = 1$ for every $M \in C(p^k)$ with $\det M = \pm 1$.

Proof. From Proposition 7.3 and the following isomorphism:

$$R_0/\left(R_0\cap\left(R\theta'+p^{2k-1}R\sum w^i\right)\right)\cong$$

$$\cong\left(R_0+R\theta'+p^{2k-1}R\sum w^i\right)/\left(R\theta'+p^{2k-1}R\sum w^i\right)$$

we deduce that

$$|\mathfrak{C}_{ns}^{+}(p^k)|=\left(R_0+R\theta'+p^{2k-1}R\sum w^i\right):\left(R\theta'+p^{2k-1}R\sum w^i\right).$$

From the following chain of consecutive inclusions:

$$R\supset R_0+R\theta'+p^{2k-1}R\sum w^i\supset R\theta'+p^{2k-1}R\sum w^i\supset R\theta'$$

we obtain

$$|\mathfrak{C}_{ns}^{+}(p^k)|=\frac{(R:R\theta')}{(R:(R_0+R\theta'+p^{2k-1}R\sum w^i))\,((R\theta'+p^{2k-1}R\sum w^i):R\theta')}.$$

Define

$$e:=\gcd\left(\deg(\theta'),p^{2k-1}\deg\left(\sum w^i\right)\right)=$$

$$=p^{3k-2}\gcd\left(\frac{p^2-1}{24},\frac{p-1}{2}\right)=p^{3k-2}\frac{p-1}{2d}.$$

It is clear that

$$R_0+R\theta'+p^{2k-1}R\sum w^i=R_e,$$

where by R_e we mean the ideal of R consisting of elements whose degree is divisibile by e. So

$$\left(R:\left(R_0+R\theta'+p^{2k-1}R\sum w^i\right)\right)=e.$$

Regarding $\left(\left(R\theta'+p^{2k-1}R\sum w^i\right):R\theta'\right)$, we observe that

$$\left(R\theta'+p^{2k-1}R\sum w^i\right)/R\theta'\cong\left(p^{2k-1}R\sum w^i\right)/\left(p^{2k-1}R\sum w^i\cap R\theta'\right).$$

But $\prod_h G_h^{+n_h^+}$ is constant if and only if all n_h^+ are the same and so

$$\operatorname{div}\prod_h G_h^{+n_h^+}=\left(\sum n_h^+h\right)\theta=\sum n_h^+h\left(\theta'+\frac{(p+1)p^{2k-1}}{12}\sum_{i=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}p^{k-1}}w^i\right)=0$$

implies

$$\left(\sum n_h^+h\right)\theta'=-\frac{(p+1)p^{2k-1}}{12}\sum n_h^+\sum w^i\iff n_w^+=n_{w^2}^+=n_{w^3}^+=...=n_{\pm1}^+.$$

But

$$\left(\sum w^i\right)\theta' = \deg(\theta')\sum w^i = -\frac{p^2-1}{24}p^{3k-2}\sum w^i$$

so:

$$\left(R\theta' + p^{2k-1}R\sum w^i\right) : R\theta' = \frac{p^2-1}{24}p^{k-1}.$$

The last index we need to compute is $(R : R\theta')$. Write $\theta' = \sum a'_i w^{-i}$ and $a'_i = a_i - \frac{p+1}{12}p^{2k-1}$. Define the following matrix:

$$A_{\theta'} = \begin{pmatrix} a'_0 & a'_1 & a'_2 & \dots & a'_{\frac{p-1}{2}p^{k-1}-2} & a'_{\frac{p-1}{2}p^{k-1}-1} \\ a'_{\frac{p-1}{2}p^{k-1}-1} & a'_0 & a'_1 & \dots & a'_{\frac{p-1}{2}p^{k-1}-3} & a'_{\frac{p-1}{2}p^{k-1}-2} \\ a'_{\frac{p-1}{2}p^{k-1}-2} & a'_{\frac{p-1}{2}p^{k-1}-1} & a'_0 & \dots & a'_{\frac{p-1}{2}p^{k-1}-4} & a'_{\frac{p-1}{2}p^{k-1}-3} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ a'_2 & a'_3 & a'_4 & \dots & a'_0 & a'_1 \\ a'_1 & a'_2 & a'_3 & \dots & a'_{\frac{p-1}{2}p^{k-1}-1} & a'_0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We have: $(R : R\theta') = |\det A_{\theta'}|$. The matrix $A_{\theta'}$ is a circulant Toeplitz matrix, in other words the coefficients $(A_{\theta'})_{i,j}$ depend only on $i-j \mod \frac{p-1}{2}p^{k-1}$. This is the matrix of multiplication by θ' in $\mathbb{C}[H]$, so we easily deduce that for $n = 1, 2, ..., \frac{p-1}{2}p^{k-1}$ the eighenvalues of $A_{\theta'}$ are:

$$\lambda_n = \sum_{j=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}p^{k-1}} a'_j e^{\frac{4\pi ijn}{(p-1)p^{k-1}}}$$

with corresponding eighenvectors:

$$v_n = \sum_{j=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}p^{k-1}} e^{\frac{4\pi ijn}{(p-1)p^{k-1}}} w^j.$$

Observe that $\lambda_{\frac{p-1}{2}p^{k-1}} = \sum a'_i = \deg(\theta') = -\frac{p^2-1}{24}p^{3k-2}$ and that according to the definition of Theorem 4.6 the others λ_n correspond to the generalized Bernoulli number $\frac{p^k}{2}B_{2,\chi}$ where χ runs over the nontrivial characters of $C(p^k)/\pm I$ such that $\chi(M) = 1$ for every $M \in C(p^k)$ with $\det M = \pm 1$. Gathering all this information together we obtain the desired result. □

8 Explicit calculation

In this section we examine the curve $X^+_{ns}(p)$ more in details. Denote with v a generator of the multiplicative group of $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$ and indicate with ω a generator

of the character group $\hat{\mathbb{F}^*_{p^2}}$ viewing $C(p) \cong \mathbb{F}^*_{p^2}$. By Theorem 7.4, in this case we have:

$$B_{2,\chi} = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}^*_{p^2}/\pm 1} B_2\left(\left\langle \frac{\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}(x)}{p} \right\rangle\right)\chi(x),$$

$$|\mathfrak{C}^+_{ns}(p)| = \frac{24}{(p-1)\gcd(12,p+1)} \prod_{j=1}^{\frac{p-3}{2}} \frac{p}{2} B_{2,\omega^{(2p+2)j}} =$$

$$= \frac{576 \left| \det\left[\frac{p}{2}\left(\sum_{l=0}^{p} B_2\left(\left\langle \frac{\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}(v^{i-j+l\frac{p-1}{2}})}{p} \right\rangle\right) - \frac{p+1}{6} \right)\right]_{1\le i,j\le \frac{p-1}{2}} \right|}{(p-1)^2 p(p+1)\gcd(12,p+1)}.$$

In the following table we show the factorization of the orders of cuspidal divisor class groups $\mathfrak{C}^+_{ns}(p)$ for some primes $p \le 101$:

Table 8.1.

p	$\lvert\mathfrak{C}^+_{ns}(p)\rvert$
5	1
7	1
11	11
13	$7 \cdot 13^2$
17	$2^4 \cdot 3 \cdot 17^3$
19	$3 \cdot 19^3 \cdot 487$
23	$23^4 \cdot 37181$
29	$2^6 \cdot 5 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 29^6 \cdot 43^2$
31	$2^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 31^6 \cdot 2302381$
37	$3^4 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 19^3 \cdot 37^8 \cdot 577^2$
41	$2^6 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7 \cdot 31^4 \cdot 41^9 \cdot 431^2$
43	$2^2 \cdot 19 \cdot 29 \cdot 43^9 \cdot 463 \cdot 1051 \cdot 416532733$
53	$3^2 \cdot 13^2 \cdot 53^{12} \cdot 96331^2 \cdot 379549^2$
59	$59^{14} \cdot 998855361369139381235879427 1$
67	$67^{16} \cdot 193 \cdot 661^2 \cdot 2861 \cdot 8009 \cdot 11287 \cdot 9383200455691459$
71	$31 \cdot 71^{16} \cdot 113 \cdot 211 \cdot 281 \cdot 701^2 \cdot 12713 \cdot 13070849919225655729061$
73	$2^2 \cdot 3^4 \cdot 11^2 \cdot 37 \cdot 73^{17} \cdot 79^2 \cdot 241^2 \cdot 3341773^2 \cdot 11596933^2$
83	$83^{19} \cdot 17210653 \cdot 151251379 \cdot 18934761332741 \cdot 4883337047633132474941 9$
89	$2^2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 11^2 \cdot 13^2 \cdot 89^{21} \cdot 4027^2 \cdot 262504573^2 \cdot 153546997288972^2$
101	$5^4 \cdot 17 \cdot 101^{24} \cdot 52951^2 \cdot 54371^2 \cdot 588840772434348643478512$

We recall the following result of [1]:

Theorem 8.2. *The genera of $X^+_{ns}(p)$ are:*

$$g(X^+_{ns}(p)) = \frac{1}{24}\left(p^2 - 10p + 23 + 6\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) + 4\left(\frac{-3}{p}\right)\right).$$

Proof. It is a consequence of Hurwitz's formula [17, Proposition 1.40]:

$$g(\Gamma) = 1 + \frac{d}{12} - \frac{e_2}{4} - \frac{e_3}{3} - \frac{e_\infty}{2}.$$

In this case: $d := [SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \Gamma^+_{ns}(p)] = \frac{p(p-1)}{2}$, $e_\infty = \frac{p-1}{2}$ is the number of cusps (see Proposition 5.2), e_2 and e_3 denote the number of elliptic points of period 2 and 3. We have (cfr. [13, Proposition 12]):

$$e_2 = \frac{p+1}{2} - \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) \text{ and } e_3 = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{-3}{p}\right).$$

□

By Theorem 8.2 we have $g(X^+_{ns}(5)) = g(X^+_{ns}(7)) = 0$ so it will not be surprising to find out that $\mathfrak{C}^+_{ns}(5)$ and $\mathfrak{C}^+_{ns}(7)$ are trivial.

For $11 \leq p \leq 31$ we provide further corroborative evidence of Table 8.1. From [15, p. 195] we have:

Theorem 8.3. *The modular curve $X^+_{ns}(p)$ associated to the subgroup $C^+_{ns}(p)$ is a projective non-singular modular curve which can be defined over $\mathbb{Q}$. The cusps are defined over $\mathbb{Q}(\cos(\frac{2\pi}{p}))$, the maximal real subfield of the p-th cyclotomic field.*

From [3] we have the following result:

Theorem 8.4. *The jacobian of $X^+_{ns}(p)$ is isogenous to the new part of the Jacobian $J^+_0(p^2)$ of $X^+_0(p^2)$.*

From [14, Chapter 12] we have this interesting corollary of the Eichler-Shimura relation [4, pag. 354]:

Theorem 8.5. *Let q be a prime that does not divide N and let $f(x)$ the characteristic polynomial of the Hecke operator T_q acting on $S^{new}_2(\Gamma^+_0(N))$. Then:*

$$|J_0^{+new}(N)(\mathbb{F}_q)| = f(q+1).$$

Choose a prime $q \equiv \pm 1 \bmod p$ that does not divide $|\mathfrak{C}^+_{ns}(p)|$. From the previous theorems, the cuspidal divisor class group $\mathfrak{C}^+_{ns}(p)$ injects into $J^+_{ns}(p)(\mathbb{F}_q)$. So we expect that $|\mathfrak{C}^+_{ns}(p)|$ divides $|J_0^{+new}(p^2)(\mathbb{F}_q)| = f_{q,p^2}(q+1)$ where f_{q,p^2} is the characteristic polynomial of the Hecke operator T_q acting on $S^{new}_2(\Gamma^+_0(p^2))$. From the modular form database of W.Stein we have:

$|J_0^{+new}(11^2)(\mathbb{F}_{23})| = f_{23,121}(24) = 3 \cdot 11,$
$|J_0^{+new}(11^2)(\mathbb{F}_{43})| = f_{43,121}(44) = 2^2 \cdot 11,$

$|J_0^{+new}(11^2)(\mathbb{F}_{67})| = f_{67,121}(68) = 5 \cdot 11,$
$|J_0^{+new}(11^2)(\mathbb{F}_{89})| = f_{89,121}(90) = 3^2 \cdot 11,$
$|J_0^{+new}(11^2)(\mathbb{F}_{109})| = f_{109,121}(110) = 2 \cdot 5 \cdot 11,$
$|J_0^{+new}(11^2)(\mathbb{F}_{131})| = f_{131,121}(132) = 2^2 \cdot 3 \cdot 11,$
$|J_0^{+new}(11^2)(\mathbb{F}_{197})| = f_{197,121}(198) = 2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 11,$
$|J_0^{+new}(11^2)(\mathbb{F}_{199})| = f_{199,121}(200) = 2^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 11,$
$|J_0^{+new}(11^2)(\mathbb{F}_{241})| = f_{241,121}(242) = 2 \cdot 11^2,$
$|J_0^{+new}(11^2)(\mathbb{F}_{263})| = f_{263,121}(264) = 2^3 \cdot 3 \cdot 11,$
$|J_0^{+new}(11^2)(\mathbb{F}_{307})| = f_{307,121}(308) = 2^2 \cdot 7 \cdot 11,$
$|J_0^{+new}(11^2)(\mathbb{F}_{331})| = f_{331,121}(332) = 3^3 \cdot 11,$
$|J_0^{+new}(11^2)(\mathbb{F}_{353})| = f_{353,121}(354) = 3 \cdot 11^2,$
$|J_0^{+new}(11^2)(\mathbb{F}_{373})| = f_{373,121}(374) = 2 \cdot 11 \cdot 17,$
$|J_0^{+new}(11^2)(\mathbb{F}_{397})| = f_{397,121}(398) = 2^2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 11,$
$|J_0^{+new}(11^2)(\mathbb{F}_{419})| = f_{419,121}(420) = 2^2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 11,$
$|J_0^{+new}(11^2)(\mathbb{F}_{439})| = f_{439,121}(440) = 2^3 \cdot 5 \cdot 11,$
$|J_0^{+new}(11^2)(\mathbb{F}_{461})| = f_{461,121}(462) = 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 7 \cdot 11,$
$|J_0^{+new}(11^2)(\mathbb{F}_{463})| = f_{463,121}(464) = 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 11,$

$|J_0^{+new}(13^2)(\mathbb{F}_{53})| = f_{53,169}(54) = 7 \cdot 13^2 \cdot 127,$
$|J_0^{+new}(13^2)(\mathbb{F}_{79})| = f_{79,169}(80) = 7 \cdot 13^2 \cdot 449,$
$|J_0^{+new}(13^2)(\mathbb{F}_{103})| = f_{103,169}(104) = 7 \cdot 13^2 \cdot 967,$
$|J_0^{+new}(13^2)(\mathbb{F}_{131})| = f_{131,169}(132) = 7 \cdot 13^5,$
$|J_0^{+new}(13^2)(\mathbb{F}_{157})| = f_{157,169}(158) = 7^2 \cdot 13^2 \cdot 503,$
$|J_0^{+new}(13^2)(\mathbb{F}_{181})| = f_{181,169}(182) = 7 \cdot 13^2 \cdot 4327,$
$|J_0^{+new}(13^2)(\mathbb{F}_{233})| = f_{233,169}(234) = 7 \cdot 13^2 \cdot 11731,$
$|J_0^{+new}(13^2)(\mathbb{F}_{311})| = f_{311,169}(312) = 7 \cdot 13^2 \cdot 26249,$
$|J_0^{+new}(13^2)(\mathbb{F}_{313})| = f_{313,169}(314) = 7 \cdot 13^2 \cdot 29443,$
$|J_0^{+new}(13^2)(\mathbb{F}_{337})| = f_{337,169}(338) = 7 \cdot 13^2 \cdot 35449,$
$|J_0^{+new}(13^2)(\mathbb{F}_{389})| = f_{389,169}(390) = 2^3 \cdot 7 \cdot 13^2 \cdot 71 \cdot 83,$
$|J_0^{+new}(13^2)(\mathbb{F}_{443})| = f_{443,169}(444) = 2^3 \cdot 7 \cdot 13^3 \cdot 643,$
$|J_0^{+new}(13^2)(\mathbb{F}_{467})| = f_{467,169}(468) = 7 \cdot 13^2 \cdot 93199,$

$|J_0^{+new}(17^2)(\mathbb{F}_{67})| = f_{67,289}(68) = 2^8 \cdot 3 \cdot 17^5 \cdot 71,$
$|J_0^{+new}(17^2)(\mathbb{F}_{101})| = f_{101,289}(102) = 2^4 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 7 \cdot 17^3 \cdot 19 \cdot 79 \cdot 181,$
$|J_0^{+new}(17^2)(\mathbb{F}_{103})| = f_{103,289}(104) = 2^7 \cdot 3^4 \cdot 17^4 \cdot 1601,$
$|J_0^{+new}(17^2)(\mathbb{F}_{137})| = f_{137,289}(138) = 2^6 \cdot 3^8 \cdot 17^4 \cdot 181,$
$|J_0^{+new}(17^2)(\mathbb{F}_{239})| = f_{239,289}(240) = 2^8 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 17^3 \cdot 373 \cdot 48871,$
$|J_0^{+new}(17^2)(\mathbb{F}_{271})| = f_{271,289}(272) = 2^5 \cdot 3^9 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 17^4 \cdot 53,$

$|J_0^{+new}(17^2)(\mathbb{F}_{307})| = f_{307,289}(308) = 2^6 \cdot 3^5 \cdot 5 \cdot 17^3 \cdot 23 \cdot 71 \cdot 1423,$
$|J_0^{+new}(17^2)(\mathbb{F}_{373})| = f_{373,289}(374) = 2^4 \cdot 3^4 \cdot 17^3 \cdot 23 \cdot 73 \cdot 101 \cdot 2789,$
$|J_0^{+new}(17^2)(\mathbb{F}_{409})| = f_{409,289}(410) = 2^7 \cdot 3^5 \cdot 17^3 \cdot 23 \cdot 53 \cdot 71 \cdot 359,$
$|J_0^{+new}(17^2)(\mathbb{F}_{443})| = f_{443,289}(444) = 2^5 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 13 \cdot 17^4 \cdot 19 \cdot 79 \cdot 15263,$

$|J_0^{+new}(19^2)(\mathbb{F}_{37})| = f_{37,361}(38) = 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 19^3 \cdot 37 \cdot 487 \cdot 5441,$
$|J_0^{+new}(19^2)(\mathbb{F}_{113})| = f_{113,361}(114) = 2^5 \cdot 3^7 \cdot 19^7 \cdot 487,$
$|J_0^{+new}(19^2)(\mathbb{F}_{151})| = f_{151,361}(152) = 2^3 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 17 \cdot 19^4 \cdot 487 \cdot 1459141,$
$|J_0^{+new}(19^2)(\mathbb{F}_{191})| = f_{191,361}(192) = 3^2 \cdot 11^5 \cdot 19^6 \cdot 73 \cdot 487,$
$|J_0^{+new}(19^2)(\mathbb{F}_{227})| = f_{227,361}(228) = 2^2 \cdot 3^4 \cdot 19^3 \cdot 487 \cdot 971 \cdot 7323581,$
$|J_0^{+new}(19^2)(\mathbb{F}_{229})| = f_{229,361}(230) = 3 \cdot 11 \cdot 17 \cdot 19^3 \cdot 467 \cdot 487 \cdot 2819^2,$
$|J_0^{+new}(19^2)(\mathbb{F}_{379})| = f_{379,361}(380) = 2^6 \cdot 3 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 19^3 \cdot 179 \cdot 487 \cdot 4019 \cdot 33247,$
$|J_0^{+new}(19^2)(\mathbb{F}_{419})| = f_{419,361}(420) = 2^6 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 19^3 \cdot 487 \cdot 509^2 \cdot 16487,$
$|J_0^{+new}(19^2)(\mathbb{F}_{457})| = f_{457,361}(458) = 2^4 \cdot 3 \cdot 5^4 \cdot 19^3 \cdot 487 \cdot 521^2 \cdot 65629,$

$|J_0^{+new}(23^2)(\mathbb{F}_{47})| = f_{47,529}(48) = 2^3 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 7^4 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot 23^4 \cdot 8117 \cdot 37181,$
$|J_0^{+new}(23^2)(\mathbb{F}_{137})| = f_{137,529}(138) = 2^4 \cdot 3^6 \cdot 23^8 \cdot 2399 \cdot 37181 \cdot 75553,$
$|J_0^{+new}(23^2)(\mathbb{F}_{139})| = f_{139,529}(140) = 2^4 \cdot 3^8 \cdot 23^9 \cdot 107^2 \cdot 109 \cdot 37181,$
$|J_0^{+new}(23^2)(\mathbb{F}_{229})| = f_{229,529}(230) = 2^6 \cdot 11 \cdot 23^6 \cdot 43 \cdot 67 \cdot 37181 \cdot 325729 \cdot 1296721,$
$|J_0^{+new}(23^2)(\mathbb{F}_{277})| = f_{277,529}(278) = 2^8 \cdot 3^{10} \cdot 23^7 \cdot 113^2 \cdot 331 \cdot 7193 \cdot 37181,$
$|J_0^{+new}(23^2)(\mathbb{F}_{367})| = f_{367,529}(368) = 2^4 \cdot 23^5 \cdot 67^2 \cdot 193 \cdot 1847 \cdot 37181 \cdot 44617 \cdot 8643209,$
$|J_0^{+new}(23^2)(\mathbb{F}_{461})| = f_{461,529}(462) = 3^6 \cdot 7^4 \cdot 23^7 \cdot 43^2 \cdot 67 \cdot 199 \cdot 2857^2 \cdot 37181,$

$|J_0^{+new}(29^2)(\mathbb{F}_{59})| = f_{59,841}(60) = 2^8 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 11^2 \cdot 17 \cdot 23^2 \cdot 29^6 \cdot 43^2 \cdot 569 \cdot 967^2 \cdot 2999 \cdot 11695231,$
$|J_0^{+new}(29^2)(\mathbb{F}_{173})| = f_{173,841}(174) = 2^{10} \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 29^6 \cdot 31 \cdot 41^2 \cdot 43^2 \cdot 89 \cdot 419^2 \cdot 719 \cdot 1061 \cdot 36571 \cdot 1269691 \cdot 1909421,$
$|J_0^{+new}(29^2)(\mathbb{F}_{233})| = f_{233,841}(234) = 2^{10} \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 29^6 \cdot 43^2 \cdot 167^2 \cdot 211^2 \cdot 421 \cdot 1049 \cdot 3989 \cdot 317321 \cdot 422079165281099,$
$|J_0^{+new}(29^2)(\mathbb{F}_{347})| = f_{347,841}(348) = 2^8 \cdot 3^{12} \cdot 5^3 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 11 \cdot 23^2 \cdot 29^6 \cdot 31 \cdot 43^2 \cdot 71 \cdot 127^2 \cdot 967^2 \cdot 9601 \cdot 783719 \cdot 7292986801,$
$|J_0^{+new}(29^2)(\mathbb{F}_{349})| = f_{349,841}(350) = 2^8 \cdot 5^9 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 13^2 \cdot 19 \cdot 23 \cdot 29^7 \cdot 43^2 \cdot 83^2 \cdot 103 \cdot 211 \cdot 3786151 \cdot 92610181 \cdot 3477902249,$
$|J_0^{+new}(29^2)(\mathbb{F}_{463})| = f_{463,841}(464) = 2^{13} \cdot 5^7 \cdot 7^7 \cdot 29^6 \cdot 43^3 \cdot 59 \cdot 97^3 \cdot 461^3 \cdot 1459 \cdot 23656223369 \cdot 230667656992649,$

$|J_0^{+new}(31^2)(\mathbb{F}_{61})| = f_{61,961}(62) = 2^{10} \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 31^7 \cdot 137 \cdot 179 \cdot 1249 \cdot 10369 \cdot$

$26699 \cdot 38177 \cdot 2302381 \cdot 24080801$,
$|J_0^{+new}(31^2)(\mathbb{F}_{311})| = f_{311,961}(312) = 2^8 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 11 \cdot 31^7 \cdot 409 \cdot 3793^2 \cdot 51551^2 \cdot 162691 \cdot 2302381 \cdot 22340831^2 \cdot 24037019$,
$|J_0^{+new}(31^2)(\mathbb{F}_{373})| = f_{373,961}(374) = 2^4 \cdot 5 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 11^2 \cdot 13^2 \cdot 31^6 \cdot 251 \cdot 449 \cdot 2302381 \cdot 366424077359 \cdot 13600706515978033^2$,
$|J_0^{+new}(31^2)(\mathbb{F}_{433})| = f_{433,961}(434) = 2^6 \cdot 3^6 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 17 \cdot 31^{11} \cdot 89 \cdot 97 \cdot 191 \cdot 401 \cdot 1153 \cdot 54331 \cdot 126961 \cdot 2302381 \cdot 12958271 \cdot 53053053405791$.

For $11 \leq p \leq 23$ we have:

$$\mathop{\mathbf{gcd}}_{\substack{q<500 \text{ prime},\\ q \equiv \pm 1 \bmod p}} |J_0^{+new}(p^2)(\mathbb{F}_q)| = |\mathfrak{C}_{ns}^+(p)|.$$

For $p = 29$ and $p = 31$ we have:

$$\mathop{\mathbf{gcd}}_{\substack{q<500 \text{ prime},\\ q \equiv \pm 1 \bmod p}} |J_0^{+new}(p^2)(\mathbb{F}_q)| = 4|\mathfrak{C}_{ns}^+(p)|.$$

We can improve the result by using the isogeny (cfr.[4, Paragraph 6.6]):

$$J_0^{+new}(p^2) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_f A'_{p,f}$$

where the sum is taken over the equivalence classes of newforms $f \in S_2(\Gamma_0^+(p^2))$. Two forms f and g are declared equivalent if $g = f^\sigma$ for some automorphism $\sigma : \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Denote with $\mathbb{K}_f$ the number field of f. We have:

$$\mathop{\mathbf{gcd}}_{\substack{q<500 \text{ prime},\\ q \equiv \pm 1 \bmod 29}} |A'_{29,f_1}(\mathbb{F}_q)| = 7^2 \text{ where } \mathbb{K}_{f_1} = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}),$$

$$\mathop{\mathbf{gcd}}_{\substack{q<500 \text{ prime},\\ q \equiv \pm 1 \bmod 29}} |A'_{29,f_2}(\mathbb{F}_q)| = 29 \text{ where } \mathbb{K}_{f_2} = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5}),$$

$$\mathop{\mathbf{gcd}}_{\substack{q<500 \text{ prime},\\ q \equiv \pm 1 \bmod 29}} |A'_{29,f_3}(\mathbb{F}_q)| = \mathop{\mathbf{gcd}}_{\substack{q<500 \text{ prime},\\ q \equiv \pm 1 \bmod 29}} |A'_{29,f_4}(\mathbb{F}_q)| = 2^3 \cdot 43$$

where $\mathbb{K}_{f_3} = \mathbb{K}_{f_4}$ and $[\mathbb{K}_{f_3} : \mathbb{Q}] = 3$,

$$\mathop{\mathbf{gcd}}_{\substack{q<500 \text{ prime},\\ q \equiv \pm 1 \bmod 29}} |A'_{29,f_5}(\mathbb{F}_q)| = 5 \cdot 29^2 \text{ where } [\mathbb{K}_{f_5} : \mathbb{Q}] = 6,$$

$$\mathop{\mathbf{gcd}}_{\substack{q<500 \text{ prime},\\ q \equiv \pm 1 \bmod 29}} |A'_{29,f_6}(\mathbb{F}_q)| = 29^3 \text{ where } [\mathbb{K}_{f_6} : \mathbb{Q}] = 8,$$

$$\mathop{\mathbf{gcd}}_{\substack{q<500 \text{ prime},\\ q\equiv\pm1 \bmod 31}} |A'_{31,g_1}(\mathbb{F}_q)| = 2^2\cdot 7 \text{ where } \mathbb{K}_{g_1}=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}),$$

$$\mathop{\mathbf{gcd}}_{\substack{q<500 \text{ prime},\\ q\equiv\pm1 \bmod 31}} |A'_{31,g_2}(\mathbb{F}_q)| = 5\cdot 11 \text{ where } \mathbb{K}_{g_2}=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5}),$$

$$\mathop{\mathbf{gcd}}_{\substack{q<500 \text{ prime},\\ q\equiv\pm1 \bmod 31}} |A'_{31,g_3}(\mathbb{F}_q)| = 2302381 \text{ where } [\mathbb{K}_{g_3}:\mathbb{Q}]=8,$$

$$\mathop{\mathbf{gcd}}_{\substack{q<500 \text{ prime},\\ q\equiv\pm1 \bmod 31}} |A'_{31,g_4}(\mathbb{F}_q)| = 31^6 \text{ where } [\mathbb{K}_{g_4}:\mathbb{Q}]=16.$$

So for $p=29$ and $p=31$ we have:

$$\prod_f \mathop{\mathbf{gcd}}_{\substack{q<500 \text{ prime},\\ q\equiv\pm1 \bmod p}} |A'_{p,f}(\mathbb{F}_q)| = |\mathfrak{C}^+_{ns}(p)|$$

where the product runs over all equivalence classes of newforms.

Acknowledgements

I would like to express my gratitude to my advisor Prof. René Schoof for his valuable remarks during the development of this research work, especially for the last section.

References

[1] B. Baran, *Normalizers of non-split Cartan subgroups, modular curves and the class number one problem*, Journal of Number Theory, vol. 130, 2010, 2753–2772.

[2] Y. Bilu, P. Parent and M. Rebolledo, *Rational points on $X_0(p^r)$*, Ann. Inst. Fourier.

[3] I. Chen, *The Jacobian of non-split Cartan modular curves*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 77 (1998), no.1, 1–38.

[4] F. Diamond and J. Shurman, *A First Course in Modular Forms*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol.228, Springer Verlag, New York, 2005.

[5] V.G. Drinfeld, *Two theorems on modular curves, Functional Analysis and its applications*, Vol.7 No.2, translated from the Russian, April-June 1973, pp. 155–156.

[6] H. Iwaniec, *Topics in Classical Automorphic Forms*, American Mathematical Society: Providence 1997.

[7] D. Kubert and S. Lang, *Modular Units*, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften 244, Springer Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1981.

[8] D. Kubert and S. Lang, *Units in the modular function field IV, The Siegel functions are generators*, Math. Ann. 227 (1977) pp. 223–242.

[9] S. Lang, *Elliptic functions*, Addison Wesley, 1974.

[10] S. Lang, *Introduction to Modular Forms*, Springer Verlag, 1977.

[11] J. Manin, *Parabolic points and zeta functions of modular curves*, Izv.Akad.Nauk SSSR, Vol.6 No.1 (1972) American Mathematical Society translation pp. 19–64.

[12] B. Mazur, *Rational isogenies of prime degree*, Inv. Math. 44 (1978), 129–162.

[13] M. Rebolledo and C. Wuthrich, *A moduli interpretation for the non-split modular curve*, 2014.

[14] K.A. Ribet and W.A. Stein, *Lectures on Modular Forms and Hecke Operators*, 2011.

[15] J.P. Serre, *Lectures on the Mordell-Weil Theorem*, third ed., Aspects of Mathematics, Friedr. Vieweg & Sohn, Braunschweig, 1997.

[16] J.P. Serre, *Properiétés galoisienne des points d'ordre fini des courbes elliptiques*, Invent. Math. 15, 259–331, 1972.

[17] G. Shimura, *Introduction to the Arithmetic Theory of Automorphic Functions*, Iwanami Shoten and Princeton University Press, 1971.

[18] C.L. Siegel, *Lectures on advanced analytic number theory*, Tata Institute Lecture Notes, 1961, 259–331.

[19] J. Silverman, *Advanced topics in the arithmetic of elliptic curves*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 151 (1994), Springer

[20] L.C. Washington, *Introduction to Cyclotomic Fields*, Volume 83 of Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, 1982.